

# A Bushel And A Peck

Food & Nutrition Division  
2013-2014



Nevada Department  
of Agriculture



## NEVADA STATE BOARD OF AGRICULTURE

**DAVE STIX, JR., CHAIRMAN**, LIVESTOCK

**PAUL ANDERSON, VICE CHAIR**, PETROLEUM

**CHARLIE FREY**, VITICULTURE

**BRIAN NAKAGUCHI**, PESTICIDES

**TIM DUFURRENA**, LIVESTOCK

**BOYD SPRATLING**, LIVESTOCK

**JIM SNYDER**, ROW CROPS

**ALAN PERAZZO**, DAIRY

**PAUL NOE**, NURSERIES

**PETE PARIS**, SHEEP

**RAMONA MORRISON**, AGRICULTURE

**ATTORNEY GENERAL**, REPRESENTATIVE



## NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

**JIM BARBEE**, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

**LYNN HETTRICK**, DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

**DONNELL BARTON**, ADMINISTRATOR, FOOD & NUTRITION DIVISION

**JENELLE GIMLIN**, DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR, FOOD & NUTRITION DIVISION

## NEVADA DAIRY COMMISSIONERS

**CHRISTOPHER COOK**, CHAIRMAN, FINANCE

**TROY G. CROWTHER**, MEMBER, CPA

**JOHN W. COLLIER**, MEMBER, AGRICULTURAL ECONOMIST

*THIS INSTITUTION IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY PROVIDER AND EMPLOYER.*

# INTRODUCTION



The County section provides economic statistics and school data for the 2013-2014 School Year.

## **DATA**

## **SOURCE**

Enrollment

Nevada Department of Education  
<http://nspf.doe.nv.gov/DistrictReport/SchoolList>

Free and Reduced  
Eligible Students

Nevada Department of Education  
[http://nutrition.nv.gov/Data\\_Reports/  
Free\\_and\\_Reduced\\_Lunch\\_Data/](http://nutrition.nv.gov/Data_Reports/Free_and_Reduced_Lunch_Data/)

High School  
Graduation Rate\*\*\*

Nevada Department of Education,  
Nevada Report Card  
<http://www.nevadareportcard.com/>

Population\*

2013 U.S. Census Bureau, State & County  
Quick Facts, [www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)

Median Household\*  
Income

2013 U.S. Census, State & County  
Quick Facts, [www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)

Poverty Rate\*

2013 U.S. Census, State & County  
Quick Facts, [www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)

Unemployment Rate\*\*

Department of Employment Rehabilitation and  
Training, 2013 Nevada Labor Force Summary  
Data

Included in the County sections are the participation rates for NSLP and SBP by school; funding for the FFVP; names of sponsors for CACFP, RCCI, SMP, SFSP, CSFP, TEFAP, NSIP.

\*For more information on our programs and to view this report online, go to [agri.nv.gov/Food/  
FoodNutrition\\_Home/](http://agri.nv.gov/Food/FoodNutrition_Home/).

# INTRODUCTION

## **Mission: Helping Nevadan's embrace a healthier life.**

The Food and Nutrition Division (FND) was established during the 2013 Nevada Legislature with the goals of increasing agricultural economic opportunities, increasing food security, promoting locally produced agricultural products, and creating effective and efficient program administration.

FND is comprised of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Child Nutrition Programs, Food Distribution Programs, and the Nevada Dairy Commission. The division administers the following programs:

National School Lunch Program

School Breakfast Program

Special Milk Program

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

Summer Food Service Program

Child and Adult Care Food Program

Residential Child Care Institution Program

Wellness Policy

Child Nutrition USDA Foods Support

Senior Farmer's Market Nutrition Program

Nutrition Service Incentive Program

The Emergency Food Assistance Program

Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservation

Commodity Supplemental Food Program

Disaster Feeding

State Processing Program

Dairy Product Safety Testing



The Food and Nutrition Division assist local school districts and other nonprofit sponsors in assuring that children are well nourished, healthy, and ready to learn while acquiring agricultural commodities through price support programs, surplus removal, and direct purchase from domestic markets. These USDA Foods are distributed to improve the nutritional status of children, adults and seniors in need and to assist and strengthen the American agricultural market. FND staff assures the availability of wholesome, nutritious dairy products and promotes a business climate that is economically viable for those who produce, process, or market dairy products in our state.

This report is divided into four sections: Program Descriptions, Trends, State Overview and County. The following pages provide a brief description of the FND programs listed above.



# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM (NSLP)

Provides nutritionally balanced low-cost or free lunches to children each school day. The program is offered in public, non-profit private schools and residential child care institutions.

## SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM (SBP)

Provides nutritious breakfasts for students enrolled in public, private and non-profit schools.



## SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM (SMP)

Provides milk to children in schools and private, non-profit, childcare institutions who do not participate in other Federal meal service programs.



# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## SUMMER FOOD SERVICE PROGRAM (SFSP)



Provides nutritious meals during school vacations and track breaks to children from areas in which poor economic conditions exist. School Food Authorities are encouraged to participate in summer food programs through the SFSP or NSLP Seamless Summer Option. In addition to school districts, private non-profit organizations are also encouraged to participate in the SFSP as sponsors and/or feeding sites.

## THE FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLE PROGRAM (FFVP)

Exposes children to a wide variety of fresh fruits and vegetables. Elementary schools that have greater than 50% eligible free and reduced lunch students are eligible to apply yearly for this program. Fresh fruits and vegetables are served during the school day in a variety of settings. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) encourages schools to offer fresh fruits and vegetables a minimum of twice a week. FFVP grant funds cannot be utilized as part of the National School Breakfast or National School Lunch Program. Schools will receive funding of \$50-75 per student based on total enrollment of schools participating in the program.



# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)



CACFP has a common goal of bringing nutritious meals and snacks at no charge to children and adults in day care, in a variety of facilities. A maximum of 2 meals and 1 snack or 1 meal and 2 snacks may be reimbursed per participant daily. The CACFP reimbursement for meals served frees other funds to be used to improve the quality of day care, making it more affordable for many low-income families. CACFP models healthy eating and introduces children to a variety of healthy fruits and vegetables, whole grains, and dairy. The At-Risk Meals Program provides suppers to children participating in an approved after-school CACFP program.

## RESIDENTIAL CHILD CARE (RCCI)

Residential Child Care Institutions (RCCI) are part of NSLP and provide nutritious meals and after-school care snacks for the youth of Nevada in public, private, non-profit residential and group settings.





# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## NEVADA SCHOOL WELLNESS POLICY



Nevada's School Wellness Policy was based on and developed using USDA's Smart Snacks Nutrition Standards for all Food Sold in Schools, Interim Rule and Local School Wellness Policy Implementation, Proposed Rule as required by the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010.

Nevada's School Wellness Policy was updated to comply with the federal minimum requirements. A broad group of stakeholders was brought together in the spring of 2014 to revise the policy and a Best Practices Manual was created to aid school districts in implementing the latest policy. The Food and Nutrition Division has created several resources and provides webinars to assist districts and schools with the development and implementation of their local school wellness policy. Additionally we have created a web based system for reporting comments on local school wellness policies. The public may provide comments through this web site and districts can use the comments to provide feedback to the local wellness policy advisory group.

Districts are required to submit to the Nevada Department of Agriculture on a yearly basis the contact information for the local wellness policy coordinator and complete an online survey to measure the progress on their local wellness policy implementation.



# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## CHILD NUTRITION USDA FOODS PROGRAM

Provides basic and processed USDA foods for the following programs: National School Lunch, Summer Food Service, Child and Adult Care Food. All Recipient agencies must be tax exempt and comply with USDA's nutritional standards. USDA Foods are allocated according to a fair share basis based on eligible reimbursable meals served.

## THE SENIOR FARMERS' MARKET NUTRITION PROGRAM (SFMNP)

Provides low-income seniors with coupons that can be exchanged for eligible foods at participating Nevada farmers' markets, and roadside farm stands. The purpose of this program is to increase the consumption, production, and distribution of fresh, locally grown fruits and vegetables and to supplement the nutritional needs for Nevada seniors.



# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## NUTRITION SERVICES INCENTIVE PROGRAM (NSIP)

USDA foods are provided as an option to cash to the Senior Citizen Centers throughout the state that participate through the Older American Act. The purpose of the NSIP program is to reduce hunger and promote health of older individuals.



## THE EMERGENCY FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (TEFAP)

TEFAP provides non-profit distribution sites with USDA foods for redistribution to low-income families. This food is for household consumption and is free, but recipients must meet state and federal guidelines. Soup kitchens and other non-profit organizations that prepare and serve meals for needy individuals can also receive food at no cost.

# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## FOOD DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM ON INDIAN RESERVATION (FDPIR)

The Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations provides USDA Foods to low-income households, including the elderly residing on Indian reservations in the state. This is an alternative to the SNAP Program because many households do not have easy access to food stores.



## COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM (CSFP)

The Commodity Supplemental Food Program works to improve the health of low income elderly persons at least 60 years or older by supplementing their diets with nutritious USDA Foods. Individuals must meet program guidelines to be eligible.



# PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



## STATE PROCESSING PROGRAM

Processing of donated foods allows the State to contract with commercial food processors to convert raw bulk USDA foods into more convenient, ready-to-use end products. This program is used by school districts and child care centers.



## DISASTER FEEDING

When State agencies notify USDA of the types and quantities of food that relief organizations need for emergency feeding operations, FNS supplies food to disaster relief organizations such as the Red Cross and the Salvation Army for mass feeding or household distribution.




# TRENDS

## Child Nutrition Programs FY 2012-2014



### Meals Served by Federal Fiscal Year



### MEALS SERVED

	National School Lunch Program*	School Breakfast Program	Special Milk Program	Child and Adult Care Program*	Summer Food Services Program*	Total
FFY12	36,886,415	14,834,956	626,400	4,592,266	446,933	57,386,970
FFY13	36,737,482	15,821,674	608,592	4,248,732	487,534	57,904,014
FFY14	36,975,412	15,886,299	583,943	4,421,709	561,533	58,428,896

\* includes Afterschool Snacks


# TRENDS

## Child Nutrition Programs

### FY 2012-2014



### Meals Reimbursed by Federal Fiscal Year



### TOTAL FUNDS REIMBURSED


	National School Lunch Program*	School Breakfast Program	Special Milk Program	Child and Adult Care Program*	Summer Food Services Program*	Total
<b>FFY12</b>	\$81,718,346	\$23,992,940	\$127,342	\$7,878,293	\$1,290,068	\$115,006,989
<b>FFY13</b>	\$85,973,311	\$25,872,361	\$118,188	\$7,529,622	\$1,452,201	\$120,945,682
<b>FFY14</b>	\$91,192,973	\$26,660,469	\$120,750	\$8,273,256	\$1,670,918	\$127,918,366

\* includes Afterschool Snacks

# TRENDS

## Child Nutrition Programs

### FY 2012-2014




Free & Reduced Eligibility				
	Number of Reduced Eligible	Number of Free Eligible	Total Free & Reduced	Total Enrollment
SY12	30,096	169,286	199,382	422,518
SY13	30,998	175,683	227,761	425,080
SY14	32,739	176,252	233,493	426,874

# TRENDS

## USDA Foods



### Federal Food Pounds



Federal Food Pounds					
Program Year 2012-2014					
	<i>National School Lunch Program (includes Child and Adult Care Food Program)*</i>	<i>The Emergency Food Assistance Program</i>	<i>Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations</i>	<i>Commodity Supplemental Food Program</i>	<i>Nutrition Services Incentive Program</i>
<b>2012-2013</b>	13,346,208	6,682,370	392,607	2,700,248	115,629
<b>2013-2014</b>	14,167,224	8,557,015	408,852	2,706,004	75,098




# TRENDS

## USDA Foods



**Federal Food Value**



**Federal Food Value**

**Program Year 2012-2014**


	National School Lunch Program (includes Child and Adult Care Food Program)	The Emergency Food Assistance Program	Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations	Commodity Supplemental Food Program	Nutrition Services Incentive Program
2012-2013	\$15,891,846.24	\$5,383,300.50	\$318,100.00	\$2,005,990.29	\$105,813.15
2013-2014	\$15,357,591.23	\$6,791,697.11	\$422,504.00	\$1,713,037.17	\$81,196.38

# TRENDS

## USDA Foods



### Federal Administrative Funds



### Federal Administrative Funds

#### Program Year 2012-2014

	<i>The Emergency Food Assistance Program</i>	<i>Commodity Supplemental Food Program</i>
<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>\$189,626.53</b>	<b>\$467,953.31</b>
<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>\$321,140.02</b>	<b>\$423,993.05</b>

# STATE OF NEVADA



State Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	233,493
High School Graduation Rate***	70.65%
Population*	2,790,136
Median Household Income*	\$52,800
Poverty Rate*	15%
Unemployment Rate**	9.8%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

\$126,985,754

## USDA Food Program Administrative Funding

\$745,133.07

## USDA Food Value

\$24,366,025.89

State of Nevada - Enrollment			451,730
Elementary Schools	Middle Schools	High Schools	Juvenile Centers
386	158	143	19
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
478	37	155	

Enrollment info: <http://nspf.doe.nv.gov/DistrictReport/SchoolList>

# NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM (NSLP)

SY 2013-2014



Lunch Participation Rate SY2013 / 2014	
County	Percent
Carson City	46.9%
Churchill	41.8%
Clark	46.6%
Douglas	37.0%
Elko	44.0%
Esmeralda	0.0%
Eureka	63.4%
Humboldt	29.6%
Lander	32.9%
Lincoln	46.8%
Lyon	44.6%
Mineral	40.1%
Nye	63.5%
Pershing	51.2%
Storey	51.8%
Washoe	40.1%
White Pine	32.1%

Lunch Meals & Funding SY2013 / 2014		
County	Meals Served	Funding
Carson City	609,665	\$ 1,468,506
Churchill	261,343	\$ 515,656
Clark	27,591,394	\$ 69,379,832
Douglas	387,801	\$ 701,695
Elko	755,769	\$ 878,957
Esmeralda	-	\$ -
Eureka	23,914	\$ 20,900
Humboldt	198,577	\$ 426,660
Lander	52,463	\$ 82,409
Lincoln	55,031	\$ 102,839
Lyon	676,245	\$ 1,406,128
Mineral	34,273	\$ 79,289
Nye	521,570	\$ 1,268,287
Pershing	50,472	\$ 111,872
Storey	5,408	\$ 10,423
Washoe	4,666,716	\$ 10,957,530
White Pine	53,718	\$ 123,856

**Total** 35,944,359 \$ 87,534,839

**State participation average 45.79% | National participation average 57.2% | Rank - 51 out of 54**



### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

School Year	District	Potential Reimbursement Funding, based on Free/Reduced Eligibility	Actual Reimbursement from School Lunch Program	School Lunch Reimbursement Dollars left in Washington, DC	Percentage of funding loss for School Lunch Program
2013/2014	Carson	\$ 1,704,801.60	\$ 1,297,868.90	\$ 406,932.70	23.87%
	Churchill	\$ 897,177.60	\$ 573,150.24	\$ 324,027.36	36.12%
	Clark	\$ 84,554,064.00	\$ 57,254,282.85	\$ 27,299,781.15	32.29%
	Douglas	\$ 1,117,526.40	\$ 720,339.36	\$ 397,187.04	35.54%
	Elko	\$ 1,747,555.20	\$ 1,114,593.44	\$ 632,961.76	36.22%
	Esmerelda	\$ 15,586.56	\$ -	\$ 15,586.56	100.00%
	Eureka	\$ 23,212.80	\$ 16,802.08	\$ 6,410.72	27.62%
	Humboldt	\$ 442,609.92	\$ 354,871.28	\$ 87,738.64	19.82%
	Lander	\$ 116,179.20	\$ 62,472.72	\$ 53,706.48	46.23%
	Lincoln	\$ 118,483.20	\$ 83,929.60	\$ 34,553.60	29.16%
	Lyon	\$ 2,105,308.80	\$ 1,280,635.90	\$ 824,672.90	39.17%
	Mineral	\$ 136,699.20	\$ 92,480.52	\$ 44,218.68	32.35%
	Nye	\$ 1,639,166.40	\$ 1,106,100.44	\$ 533,065.96	32.52%
	Pershing	\$ 188,547.84	\$ 105,805.76	\$ 82,742.08	43.88%
	Storey	\$ 14,457.60	\$ 9,401.68	\$ 5,055.92	34.97%
	Washoe	\$ 9,622,324.80	\$ 9,126,325.04	\$ 495,999.76	5.15%
	White Pine	\$ 193,374.72	\$ 116,922.72	\$ 76,452.00	39.54%

\$ 104,637,075.84    \$ 73,315,982.53

<b>State Total, Fiscal Impact SY 2012-13</b>	<b>\$ 31,321,093</b>
--	----------------------

2014/2015	Carson	\$ 1,775,511.00	\$ 1,420,947.76	\$ 354,563.24	19.97%
	Churchill	\$ 898,704.00	\$ 580,650.35	\$ 318,053.65	35.39%
	Clark	\$ 87,525,378.00	\$ 61,003,599.08	\$ 26,521,778.92	30.30%
	Douglas	\$ 1,058,508.00	\$ 726,466.91	\$ 332,041.09	31.37%
	Elko	\$ 1,783,980.00	\$ 1,136,662.90	\$ 647,317.10	36.28%
	Esmerelda	\$ 18,093.60	\$ -	\$ 18,093.60	100.00%
	Eureka	\$ 22,003.20	\$ 17,120.08	\$ 4,883.12	22.19%
	Humboldt	\$ 529,070.40	\$ 378,894.40	\$ 150,176.00	28.38%
	Lander	\$ 124,308.00	\$ 67,344.45	\$ 56,963.55	45.82%
	Lincoln	\$ 127,303.20	\$ 86,639.59	\$ 40,663.61	31.94%
	Lyon	\$ 1,947,978.00	\$ 1,308,690.87	\$ 639,287.13	32.82%
	Mineral	\$ 117,009.00	\$ 91,466.15	\$ 25,542.85	21.83%
	Nye	\$ 1,647,207.00	\$ 1,128,975.13	\$ 518,231.87	31.46%
	Pershing	\$ 189,338.40	\$ 108,339.14	\$ 80,999.26	42.78%
	Storey	\$ 15,282.00	\$ 10,087.31	\$ 5,194.69	33.99%
	Washoe	\$ 10,333,503.00	\$ 9,740,061.05	\$ 593,441.95	5.74%
	White Pine	\$ 201,045.60	\$ 118,996.04	\$ 82,049.56	40.81%

\$ 108,314,222.40    \$ 77,924,941.21

<b>State Total, Fiscal Impact SY 2013-14</b>	<b>\$ 30,389,281</b>
--	----------------------

Year-over-year change:	-2.98%
------------------------	--------

# SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM



## Breakfast Participation Rate SY2013 / 2014

County	Percent
Carson City	18.0%
Churchill	39.8%
Clark	20.2%
Douglas	11.5%
Elko	18.9%
Esmeralda	66.8%
Eureka	22.8%
Humboldt	21.3%
Lander	0.0%
Lincoln	34.6%
Lyon	21.0%
Mineral	8.8%
Nye	25.8%
Pershing	24.0%
Storey	68.8%
Washoe	25.5%
White Pine	38.1%
State Average	27.4%

## Breakfast Meals & Funding SY2013 / 2014

County	Meals Served	Funding
Carson City	233,701	\$ 406,380
Churchill	248,944	\$ 280,939
Clark	11,789,091	\$ 19,759,797
Douglas	125,394	\$ 177,262
Elko	81,093	\$ 137,876
Esmeralda	7,892	\$ 9,512
Eureka	8,588	\$ 6,716
Humboldt	20,099	\$ 34,428
Lander	-	\$ -
Lincoln	40,678	\$ 49,124
Lyon	318,221	\$ 445,609
Mineral	10,299	\$ 17,052
Nye	217,558	\$ 368,560
Pershing	23,654	\$ 36,313
Storey	7,144	\$ 6,158
Washoe	2,386,008	\$ 3,796,218
White Pine	29,277	\$ 41,887
Total	15,547,641	\$ 25,573,830

State Participation Average: 20.73% | National Participation Average: 27.38% | Rank 45 of 54

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

School Year	District	Potential Reimbursement Funding, based on Free/Reduced Eligibility	Actual Reimbursement from School Breakfast Program	School Breakfast Reimbursement Dollars left in Washington, DC	Percentage of funding loss for School Breakfast Program
2013/2014	Carson	\$ 1,091,169	\$ 379,986	\$ 711,183	65.18%
	Churchill	\$ 573,615	\$ 218,130	\$ 355,485	61.97%
	Clark	\$ 53,850,913	\$ 11,753,430	\$ 42,097,483	78.17%
	Douglas	\$ 710,575	\$ 174,237	\$ 536,338	75.48%
	Elko	\$ 1,115,478	\$ 140,952	\$ 974,526	87.36%
	Esmerelda	\$ 7,969	\$ 8,468	\$ (499)	-6.26%
	Eureka	\$ 11,907	\$ 4,351	\$ 7,556	63.46%
	Humboldt	\$ 282,362	\$ 25,312	\$ 257,051	91.04%
	Lander	\$ 74,189	\$ -	\$ 74,189	100.00%
	Lincoln	\$ 75,470	\$ 46,018	\$ 29,453	39.03%
	Lyon	\$ 1,346,184	\$ 349,881	\$ 996,303	74.01%
	Mineral	\$ 87,570	\$ 29,472	\$ 58,098	66.34%
	Nye	\$ 1,046,858	\$ 341,676	\$ 705,182	67.36%
	Pershing	\$ 121,010	\$ 37,638	\$ 83,372	68.90%
	Storey	\$ 9,225	\$ 4,724	\$ 4,501	48.79%
	Washoe	\$ 6,152,526	\$ 2,668,607	\$ 3,483,919	56.63%
	White Pine	\$ 123,782	\$ 37,504	\$ 86,278	69.70%

\$ 66,680,805    \$ 16,220,386

<b>State Total, Fiscal Impact SY 2012-13</b>	<b>\$ 50,460,419</b>
--	----------------------

2014/2015	Carson	\$ 1,133,044	\$ 401,046	\$ 731,998	64.60%
	Churchill	\$ 573,253	\$ 304,564	\$ 268,689	46.87%
	Clark	\$ 60,352,207	\$ 19,474,037	\$ 40,878,170	67.73%
	Douglas	\$ 670,766	\$ 172,131	\$ 498,636	74.34%
	Elko	\$ 1,135,739	\$ 136,536	\$ 999,203	87.98%
	Esmerelda	\$ 9,220	\$ 8,905	\$ 315	3.42%
	Eureka	\$ 11,385	\$ 5,325	\$ 6,060	53.23%
	Humboldt	\$ 79,345	\$ 33,950	\$ 45,396	57.21%
	Lander	\$ 79,345	\$ -	\$ 79,345	100.00%
	Lincoln	\$ 80,849	\$ 44,715	\$ 36,133	44.69%
	Lyon	\$ 1,242,367	\$ 431,418	\$ 810,949	65.27%
	Mineral	\$ 74,579	\$ 16,697	\$ 57,883	77.61%
	Nye	\$ 1,049,870	\$ 362,078	\$ 687,792	65.51%
	Pershing	\$ 121,059	\$ 35,011	\$ 86,048	71.08%
	Storey	\$ 9,720	\$ 4,927	\$ 4,793	49.32%
	Washoe	\$ 9,156,386	\$ 3,730,993	\$ 5,425,393	59.25%
	White Pine	\$ 160,558	\$ 39,660	\$ 120,898	75.30%

\$ 75,939,694    \$ 25,201,992

<b>State Total, Fiscal Impact SY 2013-14:</b>	<b>\$ 50,737,702</b>
---	----------------------

Year-over-year change:	0.55%
------------------------	-------

# PRICE OF SCHOOL MEALS



## 2013—2014

Sponsor Name	LUNCH			BREAKFAST		
	HS	M/Jr.	Elem.	HS	M/Jr.	Elem.
CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.75	2.65	2.40	2.75	1.50	1.50
CHURCHILL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.50	2.25	2.00	1.50	1.50	1.50
CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	3.10	3.10	1.85	1.50	1.50	1.25
DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DIST	3.00	2.75	2.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
DUCKWATER SHOSHONE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	0.00	0.00	2.77	0.00	0.00	1.50
ELKO COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	3.00	2.75	2.50	1.75	1.75	1.75
ESMERALDA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75
EUREKA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.00	2.00	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00
HUMBOLDT COUNTY SCHOOL DIST	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
IMAGINE SCHOOL AT MOUNTAIN VIEW	0.00	0.00	3.50	0.00	0.00	2.00
LANDER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	3.00	2.75	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
LINCOLN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	3.25	3.25	2.75	1.50	1.50	1.00
LYON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.75	2.50	2.25	1.25	1.25	1.00
MINERAL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.50	2.25	1.75	1.25	1.25	1.00
NYE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.60	2.40	2.20	1.50	1.25	1.00
PERSHING COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.75	2.75	2.25	1.75	1.75	1.50
PYRAMID LAKE JR./SR. HIGH SCHOOL	2.00	2.00	0.00	1.50	1.50	0.00
QUEST ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL	0.00	0.00	3.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
STOREY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	0.00	0.00	2.75	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHOE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	2.75	2.75	2.50	1.75	1.75	1.75
WHITE PINE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	3.00	3.00	2.75	0.00	0.00	1.00

# REIMBURSEMENT AND ELIGIBILITY



## USDA Reimbursement Rate for School Lunch and School Breakfast July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014

Categories	Lunch		Breakfast		Snack	
	High	Low	Severe	Regular	Area Eligible	Regular
Free	2.95	2.93	1.89	1.58	0.80	0.80
Reduced	2.55	2.53	1.59	1.28	n/a	0.40
Paid	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.28	n/a	0.07

### Milk

0.2050

## Income Eligibility Guidelines For Free & Reduced Price Categories Effective from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014

Household Size	Free Meals					Reduced Price Meals				
	Annual	Monthly	Twice Per Month	Every Two Weeks	Weekly	Annual	Monthly	Twice Per Month	Every Two Weeks	Weekly
1	14,937	1,245	623	575	288	21,257	1,772	886	818	408
2	20,163	1,681	841	776	388	28,694	2,392	1,196	1,104	552
3	25,389	2,116	1,058	977	489	36,131	3,011	1,506	1,390	695
4	30,615	2,552	1,276	1,178	589	43,568	3,631	1,816	1,676	838
5	35,841	2,987	1,494	1,379	690	51,005	4,251	2,126	1,962	981
6	41,067	3,423	1,712	1,580	790	58,442	4,871	2,436	2,248	1,124
7	46,293	3,858	1,929	1,781	891	65,879	5,490	2,745	2,534	1,267
8	51,519	4,294	2,147	1,982	991	73,316	6,110	3,055	2,820	1,410
For Each Additional Family Member Add	5,226	436	218	201	101	7,437	620	310	287	144

## NSLP REQUIRED STATE MATCH



**NRS 387.105 - Appropriation of state money; matching grant for participation in National School Lunch Program.** 1. To enable the State Board to provide for the establishment, maintenance, operation and expansion of programs of nutrition, money must be provided by legislative appropriation from the General Fund as a budgeted part of the appropriation for the support of the Department and must be paid out on claims as other claims against the State are paid. 2. In addition to the amounts provided pursuant to subsection 1, money must be provided by legislative appropriation in an amount that satisfies the amount required as a matching grant from this State for participation in the National School Lunch Program, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1751 et seq., which must be designated as the "Nutrition State Match." For those school districts that participate in the National School Lunch Program, the amount appropriated must be a reduction in the total amount of basic support calculated for those school districts pursuant to [NRS 387.1233](#) and must be distributed in accordance with [NRS 387.124](#). Each school district receiving money that is designated as a "Nutrition State Match" shall verify that the money is used to support the National School Lunch Program in the public schools located within the school district. [107:32:1956]—(NRS A 1979, 1586; 1983, 315; 1993, 2879; [2005, 1262](#)) Funding is allocated to each district for the required Nutrition State Match based on the percentage of the reimbursement received for the National School Lunch Program.

### School District Match Funding 2013-2014 SY

County	Percent	Allocation	County	Percent	Allocation
Carson City	1.63	\$9,588.00	Lincoln	0.13	\$778.00
Churchill	0.81	\$4,753.00	Lyon	1.81	\$10,645.00
Clark	77.59	\$456,801.00	Mineral	0.08	\$493.00
Douglas	0.86	\$5,091.00	Nye	1.42	\$8,376.00
Elko	1.32	\$7,787.00	Pershing	0.13	\$758.00
Esmeralda	0.01	\$49.00	Storey	0.01	\$85.00
Eureka	0.02	\$141.00	Washoe	13.55	\$79,745.00
Humboldt	0.40	\$2,360.00	White Pine	0.14	\$848.00
Lander	0.07	\$437.00			
TOTAL		Percent			Allocation
		100			\$588,732.00



# PROVISION 2 SCHOOLS

## 2013-2014



Provision 2 Schools requires that the school serve meals to participating children at no charge but reduces application burdens to once every 4 years and simplifies meal counting and claiming procedures by allowing a school to receive meal reimbursement based on a claiming percentages. Additional 4-year extensions to Provision 2 are possible when certain conditions are met.

The following is a list of schools participating in Provision 2 during the 2013-2014 school year. Districts determine which schools are eligible for Provision 2 status. The determination is made by reviewing the number of students eligible for free and reduced price benefits and the cost of feeding all children at the school site at no charge. USDA recommends that at least 80% of the children be eligible for free and reduce price meal benefits in order to break even for a Provision 2 schools. Participation increase significantly for both breakfast and lunch at Provision 2 Schools.

### **Provision 2 Schools by School District**

#### Carson City School District:

Empire Elementary School


#### Clark County School District:

Cahlan Elementary School  
Cambeiro Elementary School  
Cortez Elementary School  
Cox, Clyde Elementary School  
Diaz Elementary School  
Edwards Elementary School  
Goodsprings Elementary School  
Herron Elementary School  
Hewetson Elementary School  
Hollingsworth Elementary School  
Jeffers Elementary School  
Lincoln Elementary School  
Lunt Elementary School  
Lynch Elementary School  
Martinez Elementary School  
Miley Achievement Center Elementary  
Miley Achievement Secondary  
Petersen, Dean Elementary School  
Reid Elementary School  
Ronnow Elementary School  
Sunrise Acres Elementary School  
Tate Elementary School  
Williams, Tom Elementary School

#### Washoe County School District:

Allen Elementary School  
Anderson Elementary School  
Booth Elementary School  
Cannan Elementary School  
Corbett Elementary School  
Duncan Elementary School  
Greenbrae Elementary School  
Hug High School  
Lemelson Elementary School  
Lincoln Park Elementary School  
Loder Elementary School  
Mariposa Charter School  
Mathews Elementary School  
Natchez Elementary School  
Risley Elementary School  
Smith, Kate Elementary School  
Smithridge Elementary School  
Sun Valley Elementary School  
Traner Middle School  
Vets Memorial Elementary School

## NEVADA SCHOOL MEAL PATTERN



The new meal pattern, as mandated by the Healthy, Hunger Free Kids Act of 2010, was phased in over several years and include many common sense requirements such as offering more whole grains, a variety of vegetables over the week and staying within an age appropriate calorie limit. The meal pattern nutrition standards are based on the latest Dietary Guidelines for Americans however, decisions about which foods to serve and how they are prepared are made by local school food personnel. New food options include all types of fruit such as fresh fruit, 100-percent fruit juice, frozen fruit, dried fruit (without added sugar) and canned fruit that is packed in light syrup or 100% juice. A variety of vegetables must be offered throughout the week including dark-green vegetables such as broccoli and romaine lettuce, red/orange vegetables such as carrots, starchy vegetables such as potatoes and beans and legumes such as black and pinto beans. All grains offered in school meals must now be whole grain rich, increasing the amount of fiber, vitamins and minerals in school meals. Calorie limits are established based on age groups and apply to weekly requirements, allowing school districts flexibility over the week to offer different meal options.

\*As of January 1, 2014, all school districts are certified, except for the following: Storey County SD and Eureka County SD.

# FRESH FRUIT & VEGETABLE PROGRAM (FFVP)



*"The program is highly successful in introducing school children to a variety of produce they otherwise might not have the opportunity to try," said Kevin Concannon, USDA Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services."*

FFVP Participation Rate SY2013 / 2014	
District	Number of Schools
Carson City	2
Churchill	0
Clark	25
Douglas	2
Elko	0
Esmeralda	0
Eureka	0
Humboldt	2
Lander	0
Lincoln	0
Lyon	5
Mineral	0
Nye	7
Pershing	2
Storey	0
Washoe	34
White Pine	0

FFVP Funding SY2013 / 2014	
District	Awarded
Carson City	\$ 69,876
Churchill	\$ -
Clark	\$ 874,527
Douglas	\$ 38,116
Elko	\$ -
Esmeralda	\$ -
Eureka	\$ -
Humboldt	\$ 26,018
Lander	\$ -
Lincoln	\$ -
Lyon	\$ 133,275
Mineral	
Nye	\$ 115,267
Pershing	\$ 17,952
Storey	\$ -
Washoe	\$ 942,414
White Pine	\$ -
Total	\$ 2,217,445

**Number of funded sites = 79 | Funding Per Student = \$ 56.81**

# SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM (SMP)



**SMP Milk & Funding  
SY2013 / 2014**

County	Milk Served	Reimbursements
Carson City	7,040	\$ 1,296
Churchill	11,753	\$ 2,131
Clark	513,577	\$ 100,067
Douglas	6,078	\$ 1,346
Elko	-	\$ -
Esmeralda	-	\$ -
Eureka	-	\$ -
Humboldt	5,242	\$ 1,030
Lander	14,147	\$ 2,944
Lincoln	-	\$ -
Lyon	-	\$ -
Mineral	-	\$ -
Nye	-	\$ -
Pershing	-	\$ -
Storey	-	\$ -
Washoe	22,192	\$ 3,831
White Pine	-	\$ -
<b>Total</b>	<b>580,029</b>	<b>\$ 112,645</b>

**SMP Sponsors  
SY2013 / 2014**

County	Sponsors
Carson City	1
Churchill	1
Clark	9
Douglas	1
Elko	-
Esmeralda	-
Eureka	-
Humboldt	2
Lander	1
Lincoln	-
Lyon	-
Mineral	-
Nye	-
Pershing	-
Storey	-
Washoe	2
White Pine	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>

# CHILD & ADULT CARE FOOD PROGRAM (CACFP)



CACFP Meals & Funding SY2013 / 2014		
County	Meals Served	Reimbursements
Carson City	44,650	\$ 35,720
Churchill	29,616	\$ 36,771
Clark	1,045,318	\$ 5,967,844
Douglas	6,474	\$ 18,454
Elko	11,211	\$ 66,399
Esmeralda	-	\$ -
Eureka	-	\$ -
Humboldt	-	\$ -
Lander	-	\$ -
Lincoln	-	\$ -
Lyon	13,200	\$ 96,163
Mineral	77,336	\$ 417
Nye	-	\$ -
Pershing	-	\$ -
Storey	-	\$ -
Washoe	252,401	\$ 1,770,816
White Pine	5,313	\$ 42,425
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,485,519</b>	<b>\$ 8,035,009</b>

CACFP Sponsors SY2013 / 2014	
County	Sponsors
Carson City	1
Churchill	2
Clark	34
Douglas	1
Elko	1
Esmeralda	-
Eureka	-
Humboldt	-
Lander	-
Lincoln	-
Lyon	1
Mineral	1
Nye	-
Pershing	-
Storey	-
Washoe	13
White Pine	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>55</b>

# SUMMER FOOD SERVICE PROGRAM (SFSP)



## SFSP Meals & Funding SY2013 / 2014

County	Meals Served	Reimbursements
Carson City	19,632	\$ 47,678
Churchill	14,708	\$ 15,563
Clark	315,921	\$ 907,196
Douglas		\$ -
Elko	4,885	\$ 10,703
Esmeralda		\$ -
Eureka		\$ -
Humboldt	3,988	\$ 14,137
Lander		\$ -
Lincoln		\$ -
Lyon	33,970	\$ 100,419
Mineral	3,570	\$ 11,305
Nye	14,152	\$ 43,616
Pershing	1,039	\$ 3,683
Storey		\$ -
Washoe	149,540	\$ 477,429
White Pine	128	\$ 454
<b>Total</b>	<b>561,533</b>	<b>\$ 1,632,184</b>

## SFSP Sponsors SY2013 / 2014

County	Sponsors
Carson City	2
Churchill	3
Clark	15
Douglas	0
Elko	2
Esmeralda	0
Eureka	0
Humboldt	1
Lander	0
Lincoln	0
Lyon	4
Mineral	2
Nye	1
Pershing	1
Storey	0
Washoe	7
White Pine	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>39</b>

# RESIDENTIAL CHILD CARE INSTITUTION (RCCI)



## RCCI Meals & Funding SY2013 / 2014

County	Meals Served	Reimbursements
Carson City	0	\$ -
Churchill	0	\$ -
Clark	241,982	\$ 551,972
Douglas	142,345	\$ 299,753
Elko	44,357	\$ 106,080
Esmeralda	0	\$ -
Eureka	0	\$ -
Humboldt	0	\$ -
Lander	0	\$ -
Lincoln	102,944	\$ 222,893
Lyon	0	\$ -
Mineral	0	\$ -
Nye	0	\$ -
Pershing	0	\$ -
Storey	0	\$ -
Washoe	51,885	\$ 110,371
White Pine	0	\$ -
<b>Total</b>	<b>583,513</b>	<b>\$ 1,291,069</b>

## RCCI Sponsors SY2013 / 2014

County	Sponsors
Carson City	0
Churchill	0
Clark	4
Douglas	2
Elko	2
Esmeralda	0
Eureka	0
Humboldt	0
Lander	0
Lincoln	1
Lyon	0
Mineral	0
Nye	0
Pershing	0
Storey	0
Washoe	2
White Pine	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>



## NON COUNTY SPONSORED



### Bureau of Indian Affairs 2013-2014

Schools	County	Federal Pounds Received	Federal Value Received	NSLP	SBP
				Participation Percent	
Duckwater Shoshone Tribe	Nye	1,567	\$924.80	88.8	89.7
Pyramid Lake High School	Washoe	3,272	\$2,890.53	72.8	50.2
			<b>Total Funding</b>	\$32,323.23	\$14,489.38

### State Sponsored Charter Schools

SCHOOLS	Enrollment	NSLP	SBP
		Participation Percent	
Imagine School at Mountain View	475	25.3	3.7
Quest Academy Preparatory Education	590	34.9	0.0
<b>Total Funding</b>		<b>\$131,280.07</b>	<b>\$4,111.81</b>

# THE EMERGENCY FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (TEFAP) PROGRAM YEAR 2013-2014



County	Number of Agencies	Federal Pounds Received	Federal Value Received	Federal Admin. Funds
Carson City	3	194,857	\$ 152,612.10	\$ 1,862.35
Churchill	1	227,378	\$ 173,729.61	\$ 196.84
Clark	35	4,225,821	\$ 3,454,813.68	\$ 205,316.82
Douglas	3	29,639	\$ 23,684.09	\$ 200.00
Elko	4	63,404	\$ 38,477.98	\$ 5,112.50
Esmeralda	1	8,856	\$ 7,238.40	\$ -
Eureka	1	8,443	\$ 6,891.11	\$ 744.00
Humboldt	2	53,290	\$ 43,452.58	\$ 1,797.42
Lander	2	39,485	\$ 32,069.85	\$ 333.20
Lincoln	1	33,493	\$ 26,861.79	\$ 1,714.43
Lyon	4	487,747	\$ 378,646.03	\$ 902.75
Mineral	1	52,715	\$ 42,366.70	\$ 1,980.00
Nye	5	571,667	\$ 455,760.58	\$ -
Pershing	1	27,501	\$ 22,441.64	\$ 400.00
Storey	0	-	\$ -	\$ -
Washoe	8	2,492,210	\$ 1,900,637.91	\$ 100,579.71
White Pine	2	40,509	\$ 32,013.06	
<b>Totals</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>8,557,015</b>	<b>\$ 6,791,697.11</b>	<b>\$ 321,140.02</b>

## COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM (CSFP) PROGRAM YEAR 2013-2014



Agency	Counties Served	Federal Pounds Received	Federal Value Received	Federal Admin. Funds
Food Bank of Northern NV	Carson, Churchill, Lyon, Mineral, Storey and Washoe	1,003,001	\$ 609,830.43	\$ 131,982.85
Friend In Service Helping	Elko	26,308	\$ 16,908.64	\$ 4,505.00
East Valley Family Services	Clark	1,676,695	\$ 1,086,298.10	\$ 260,838.53
Three Square Food Bank	Clark			\$ 26,666.67
		2,706,004	\$ 1,713,037.17	\$ 423,993.05

## **FOOD DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS (FDPIR) PROGRAM YEAR 2013-2014**



The Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations serves the following locations:

Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe/Nixon

Dresslerville Indian Colony

Fort McDermitt Paiute Shoshone Tribe

Winnemucca Indian Colony

Battle Mountain Band Colony

Elko Band Colony

Wells Band Colony

West Wendover

Confederate Tribes of the Goshute Indian Reservation

Ely Shoshone Indian Reservation

Duckwater Shoshone Tribe

The average monthly participation was 618 and the total Federal pounds of food received was 408,852, the total federal value of food received was \$422,504.

# NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM

## PROGRAM YEAR 2013-2014



County	Number of Schools Districts/ CACFP	Federal Food Pounds	Federal Food Value
Carson City	1	129,443	\$ 104,583.02
Churchill	1	115,685	\$ 78,487.41
Clark	9	11,575,173	\$ 7,103,153.80
Douglas	2	120,639	\$ 90,763.94
Elko	3	258,018	\$ 202,857.01
Esmeralda	0	-	\$ -
Eureka	1	3,575	\$ 2,424.67
Humboldt	1	40,962	\$ 29,483.13
Lander	1	24,023	\$ 15,687.37
Lincoln	2	30,908	\$ 25,019.92
Lyon	3	247,686	\$ 196,260.69
Mineral	2	12,541	\$ 13,836.42
Nye	1	211,582	\$ 155,228.30
Pershing	1	16,842	\$ 13,899.15
Storey	1	2,000	\$ 1,263.00
Washoe	4	1,345,744	\$ 7,302,427.56
White Pine	2	32,403	\$ 22,215.84
<b>Totals</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>14,167,224</b>	<b>\$ 15,357,591.23</b>



# NUTRITION SERVICES INCENTIVE PROGRAM

## PROGRAM YEAR 2013-2014



County	Number of Agencies	Federal Food Pounds	Federal Food Value
Carson City	1	7,171	\$ 8,135.21
Churchill	0	-	\$ -
Clark	0	-	\$ -
Douglas	1	4,968	\$ 4,422.76
Elko	3	12,334	\$ 11,052.14
Esmeralda	0	-	\$ -
Eureka	2	5,612	\$ 6,293.57
Humboldt	1	3,140	\$ 3,911.46
Lander	2	3,173	\$ 3,630.20
Lincoln	1	4,297	\$ 5,040.38
Lyon	2	13,023	\$ 16,540.69
Mineral	2	9,431	\$ 8,890.14
Nye	4	5,118	\$ 5,611.22
Pershing	1	2,322	\$ 2,768.99
Storey	0	-	\$ -
Washoe	0	-	\$ -
White Pine	1	4,509	\$ 4,899.62
<b>Totals</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>75,098</b>	<b>\$ 81,196.38</b>

\*Administered through Health & Human Service, Aging & Disability Services Division

# SENIOR FARMERS' MARKET NUTRITION PROGRAM



The Seniors Farmers' Market Nutrition Program provides Nevada low-income seniors with coupons to purchase locally grown fruits and vegetables, honey and herbs at farmers' markets, roadside stands, and community supported agriculture (CSA) program.

The program also increases the domestic consumption of agricultural commodities by expanding or aiding in the expansion of domestic farmers' markets, roadside stands, and community supported agriculture programs. The program funding directly benefits the local participating farmers and low-income seniors.

Coupon Overview for FY 2014	# of Coupon Booklet Distributed to Seniors	Value of Coupons Distributed	Value of Coupons Redeemed
Nevada seniors used their coupons to purchase fresh produce, honey and herbs from our local farmers. The program for FY 2014 was accessible in these counties: Carson, Churchill, Clark, Douglas, Eureka, Humboldt, Lyon, Mineral, Nye, Washoe and White Pine.	5400	\$ 162,000.00	\$ 105,198.00

Bulk Program Overview for FY 2014	Bulk Value Amount
Remaining funds from coupons that did not get redeemed go towards a bulk produce purchase offered only to Nevada farmers. Produce is packed into boxes to equal \$30 (coupon book value) and distribute to eligible seniors in areas that did not receive coupon books due to no farmers market in the area or a waiting list.	\$ 17,678.40

Total Farmers Participating
54

NV Farmers Participating
29

# FOOD SAFETY



The Nevada Department of Agriculture's Food & Nutrition Division is responsible for all aspects of the State's dairy industry. The Food Safety section of the Division role is to protect the health and welfare of the people of Nevada.

The programs administered by the Food Safety section are part of a uniform national dairy sanitation program outlined in the Pasteurized Milk Ordinance (PMO) published by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration. Likewise, most of the dairy product quality standards enforced by the divisions are part of the PMO or the Code of Federal Regulations. As in all states, both the PMO and the relevant sections of the Code of Federal Regulation have been adopted in state statute or regulation.



The Division seeks to:

Establish and continuously renew public health enforcement and sanitary inspection techniques. Review the effectiveness and adequacy of all relevant milk and dairy product laws and regulations and recommend changes where necessary. Prescribe uniform methods and procedures for conducting inspections in an effective, efficient, and economical manner; Administer the Dairy Products Laws and the related rules and regulations concerning the quality of milk and milk products produced and / or sold in Nevada; Ensure that all dairy products are properly represented in labeling; Conduct inspections in accordance with the cooperative State Public Health Service Program for certification of interstate milk shippers; Ensure an adequate supply of milk for the public

## FOOD SAFETY LAB



The new Food Safety Laboratory is able to maintain FDA compliance by performing mandatory evaluations for bacteria, coliform and antibiotics among other tests. The Lab Evaluation Officer is charged with educating and maintaining those drug residue testers at milk receiving locations across the state. On-site visits and split sample evaluations are conducted to assure safe dairy products are available for all consumers.



## MANUFACTURING FACILITY INSPECTIONS



Milk pasteurization plants provide a critical step to ensure the safety of the milk and milk products available to Nevada citizens. These milk plants may produce fluid milk, powdered milk, cheese or ice cream. All milk plants located within Nevada are required to be licensed. The Food Safety section inspects the milk plants regularly to make sure the plants comply with all regulations. The Program also conducts complicated tests on milk pasteurizers at least once every 3 months to ensure the milk and milk products are processed





## DAIRY FARM INSECTIONS



Many factors influence the quality and quantity of milk produced by a dairy farm. Samples of unpasteurized milk are collected and tested to check for quality and antibiotic residues. Direct Microscopic Somatic Cell Counts tests are conducted to monitor for signs of mastitis in the dairy animals. Routine inspections focus on animal health, monitoring of animal drug usage and storage, and proper cleaning and handling of process production equipment.

### Milk Tank Trucks, Bulk Milk Scales and Samplers

Milk transportation trucks are inspected annually to ensure proper construction and ability to maintain temperature requirements during transport. Each bulk milk hauler is licensed and evaluated to properly measure and sample milk during collection at the farms.

Dairy Statistics 2014	
#	Type of Inspection
106	Farm Inspections
39	Milk Tank Truck Inspections
36	Milk Hauler Evaluations
276	Raw Milk Samples
59	Manufacturing Plant Inspections
64	Pasteurization Equipment Tests
32	Milk Sampler Evaluations
510	Finished Milk Samples
106	Water Samples

## SPECIALTY PRODUCTS



Nevada has seen an increase in the production of goat milk with 2 new goat facilities. One sheep facility is also now operating in the state. The milk is being processed into fluid milk, yogurt, and specialty cheeses. Many states are seeing an increase in specialty processing and we will likely also see an uptick in production and new facility openings.

# NEVADA DAIRY COMMISSION



## Number of Milk Cows, Milk Production per Cow, Total Milk Production, and Farm Milk Income by State, 2012

State	Number of Milk Cows (Thousands) <sup>1</sup>	Milk Production Per Cow (Pounds)	Total Milk Production (Million Pounds)	Farm Cash Receipts from Milk and Cream	
				Total Received (Million Dollars) <sup>a</sup>	Share of All Farm Receipts (Percent) <sup>a2</sup>
Nevada	29.0	21,179	666	124.7	17.4%
Total U.S.	9,233.0	20,817	200,324	37,003.9	9.4%

<sup>1</sup>Revised, <sup>a</sup>Preliminary.

<sup>1</sup>Average number during the year; includes dry cows, excludes heifers not yet fresh.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes milk sucked by calves.

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service

### General Conversion Factors:

#### Weight:

16 ounces..... 1 pound  
 100 pounds ..... 1 hundredweight (cwt)  
 20 cwt ..... 1 ton (2000 pounds)  
 1 pound ..... 0.4536 kilogram  
 2.2046 pounds ..... 1 kilogram  
 2,204.6 pounds .... 1 metric ton (1,000 kilograms)  
 1 ton ..... 0.907 metric ton

#### Liquid Measure:

2 cups ..... 1 pint  
 2 pints ..... 1 quart  
 4 quarts ..... 1 gallon  
 1 quart ..... 0.946 liters  
 1.0571 quarts ..... 1 liter  
 1 gallon ..... 3.785 liters  
 0.264 gallons ..... 1 liter

# NEVADA DAIRY CONSUMPTION



## Conversion Factors

### Dairy Products Related Conversions:

The quantity of milk actually used to produce one pound of each product depends chiefly upon the milkfat and nonfat solids content of the milk, which varies both geographically and seasonally. The following table is, therefore, only an approximation.

To make one pound of:	Requires approximately:
Butter	21.8 lbs. whole milk
Whole Milk Cheese	9.8 lbs. whole milk
Whole Milk Powder	7.4 lbs. whole milk
Cottage Cheese (dry curd basis)	7.3 lbs. skim milk
Nonfat Dry Milk	11.0 lbs. skim milk


The amount of milk required to make ice cream varies considerably, not only due to the component content of the milk, but also due to the wide variety in the milkfat and nonfat solids content of the final product as well as the type and quantity of the flavoring used. In general, one gallon of ice cream requires approximately 6 pounds of whole milk and one pound of cream.

### The actual weight of fluid milk and cream varies based on milkfat content:

Milkfat %	Quart (Lbs.)	Gallon (Lbs.)	Product
< 1	2.1575	8.63	Nonfat Milk
1 - 2.99	2.1550	8.62	Lowfat & Reduced Fat Milk
3 - 4.99	2.1500	8.60	Whole Milk
9 - 13.99	2.1375	8.55	Half & Half
14 - 18.99	2.1275	8.51	Light Whipping Cream
35 - 36.99	2.0925	8.37	Heavy Whipping Cream

The weight of flavored milk varies, based on milkfat content and the quantity and type of flavorings used in the formulations.


# NEVADA DAIRY CONSUMPTION FY 12-FY 14



Type	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014
Yogurt	3,843,511	4,222,173	4,374,607
Fluid Milk	60,371,966	57,951,731	59,878,273
Ice Cream	9,983,700	9,908,600	11,036,000
Cottage Cheese	701,344	668,259	639,165
Butter	14,129,300	16,311,000	9,638,600




# NEVADA DAIRY CONSUMPTION FY 14




Account Name	Amount
Yogurt	4,374,607
Fluid Milk	59,878,273
Ice Cream	11,036,000
Cottage Cheese	639,165
Butter	9,638,600

## MILK PROCESSED 2010-2014



Date	Nevada Processed Milk
2010	520,493,007
2011	511,783,316
2012	525,057,374
2013	491,977,556
2014	724,065,304

# RAW MILK PRODUCTION 2002-2014




Date	Raw Milk Production
2002	557,566,721
2003	571,667,738
2004	624,382,411
2005	596,673,714
2006	610,279,453
2007	622,045,386
2008	646,890,833
2009	607,413,924
2010	658,266,733
2011	660,658,534
2012	659,181,568
2013	632,787,039
2014	655,578,952

# INDUSTRY ANTIBIOTIC RESIDUE SCREENING

## 2009-2014



### Milk Tank Trucks Tested for Antibiotic Residues by Industry in Nevada



Date	# of Tankers Tested
2009	8,168
2010	8,826
2011	9,039
2012	9,186
2013	8,473
2014	15,346

# CARSON CITY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	3,920
High School Graduation Rate***	75.87%
Population*	54,080
Median Household Income*	\$51,957
Poverty Rate*	16.2%
Unemployment Rate**	10.2%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

\$2,039,044

## Carson City School District - Enrollment

**7,525**

Elementary Schools 7	Middle Schools 2	High Schools 2	Juvenile Centers 1
<b>Other Educational Facilities</b>			
Child Care 20	Charter Schools 2	Private Schools 4	

# **CARSON CITY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

## **SMP**

**Board of Regents/Western Nevada College Family Services**

## **SFSP**

**Carson City School District**

**Food For Thought**

**Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California**

## **FFVP**

**Empire**

**Mark Twain**

## **CACFP**

**No Participation**

## **RCCI**

**No Participation**

## **CSFP**

**Food Bank of Northern Nevada**

## **NSIP**

**Carson City Senior Citizens Center**

## **TEFAP**

**F.I.S.H.**



School Breakfast Program	
2013-2014	
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Bordewich	21.4
Empire	81.1
Fremont	19.2
Fritsch	12.7
Mark Twain	20
Seeliger	11.5
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Carson	9.8
Eagle Valley	8.5
<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Carson	10
Pioneer	10.8
<u>Charter</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Carson Montessori	0
<b>18% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>	

National School Lunch Program	
2013-2014	
<b><u>Elementary</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
Bordewich	<b>60.8</b>
Empire	<b>87.6</b>
Fremont	<b>66.2</b>
Fritsch	<b>53.8</b>
Mark Twain	<b>69</b>
Seeliger	<b>52</b>
<b><u>Middle</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
Carson	<b>37.3</b>
Eagle Valley	<b>57.2</b>
<b><u>High School</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
Carson	<b>21.9</b>
Pioneer	<b>26.7</b>
<b>46.9% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

Carson City

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	2,852	180	513,360	194,778	38%	\$ 949,716.00	\$ -	\$ 949,716.00	\$ 360,339.30	\$ 589,376.70
SY 12-13 Reduced	507	180	91,260	12,675	14%	\$ 141,453.00	\$ -	\$ 141,453.00	\$ 19,646.25	\$ 121,806.75
SY 13-14 Free	2,851	180	513,180	199,170	39%	\$ 969,910.20	\$ -	\$ 969,910.20	\$ 376,431.30	\$ 593,478.90
SY 13-14 Reduced	570	180	102,600	15,481	15%	\$ 163,134.00	\$ -	\$ 163,134.00	\$ 24,614.79	\$ 138,519.21

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

**Carson City**

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced- Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R- Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R- eligible in School Lunch Program (G- H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>							
SY 12-13 Free	2,852	180	400,151	78%	\$ 1,478,476.80	\$ 1,163,512.20	\$ 314,964.60
SY 12-13 Reduced	507	180	53,596	59%	\$ 226,324.80	\$ 134,356.70	\$ 91,968.10
SY 13-14 Free	2,851	180	418,177	81%	\$ 1,513,881.00	\$ 1,258,712.77	\$ 255,168.23
SY 13-14 Reduced	570	180	62,159	61%	\$ 261,630.00	\$ 162,234.99	\$ 99,395.01
					\$	\$ 1,420,947.76	

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

# CHURCHILL COUNTY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	1,736
High School Graduation Rate	71.95%
Population	24,063
Median Household Income*	\$49,830
Poverty Rate*	15.0%
Unemployment Rate	8.2%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

\$855,812

## Churchill School District - Enrollment

**3,675**

Elementary Schools 5	Middle Schools 1	High Schools 1	Juvenile Centers 0
<b>Other Educational Facilities</b>			
Child Care 10	Charter Schools 1	Private Schools 2	

# **CHURCHILL COUNTY PROGRAMS**

## **2013-2014**

### **SMP**

**Northside Elementary**

### **SFSP**

**The Fallon Youth Club**

**Navy Region SW Child Youth Program**

### **FFVP**

**No Sponsors Participating**

### **CACFP**

**No Participation**

### **RCCI**

**No Participation**

### **CSFP**

**Food Bank of Northern Nevada**

### **NSIP**

**No Participation**

### **TEFAP**

**New Frontier Treatment Center**



School Breakfast Program	
2013-2014	
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
E.C. Best	<b>80</b>
Lahontan	<b>84.5</b>
Northside	<b>8.1</b>
Numa	<b>86.6</b>
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Churchill County JHS	<b>15.6</b>
<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Churchill County HS	<b>11.2</b>
<u>Charter</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
N/A	
<b>39.8% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>	

National School Lunch Program	
2013-2014	
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
E.C. Best	<b>6.4</b>
Lahontan	<b>61.1</b>
Northside	<b>17.3</b>
Numa	<b>57.2</b>
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Churchill County JHS	<b>52.9</b>
<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Churchill County HS	<b>18.9</b>
<u>Charter</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
N/A	
<b>41.8% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

**Churchill**

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <b>severe</b> / <b>regular</b> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
Yearly										
SY 12-13 Free	1,431	180	257,580	101,376	39%	\$ 476,523.00	\$ -	\$ 476,523.00	\$ 187,545.60	\$ 288,977.40
SY 12-13 Reduced	348	180	62,640	19,732	32%	\$ 97,092.00	\$ -	\$ 97,092.00	\$ 30,584.60	\$ 66,507.40
SY 13-14 Free	1,415	180	254,700	137,513	54%	\$ 481,383.00	\$ -	\$ 481,383.00	\$ 259,899.57	\$ 221,483.43
SY 13-14 Reduced	321	180	57,780	28,091	49%	\$ 91,870.20	\$ -	\$ 91,870.20	\$ 44,664.69	\$ 47,205.51

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

**Churchill**

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	1431	180	257,580	164,585	64%	\$ 741,830.40	\$ 474,004.80	\$ 267,825.60
SY 12-13 Reduced	348	180	62,640	39,978	64%	\$ 155,347.20	\$ 99,145.44	\$ 56,201.76
SY 13-14 Free	1415	180	254,700	167,427	66%	\$ 751,365.00	\$ 493,909.55	\$ 257,455.45
SY 13-14 Reduced	321	180	57,780	34,016	59%	\$ 147,339.00	\$ 86,740.80	\$ 60,598.20

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank

# CLARK COUNTY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	182,300
High School Graduation Rate***	71.5%
Population*	2,027,868
Median Household Income*	\$52,873
Poverty Rate*	15.1%
Unemployment Rate**	10.0%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

\$97,998,035

## Clark County School District - Enrollment

**314,636**

Elementary Schools

277

Middle Schools

119

High Schools

107

Juvenile Centers

5

## Other Educational Facilities

Child Care

379

Charter Schools

15

Private Schools

102

## **CLARK COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

All Saints Day School  
Board of Regents/UNLV-CSUN Preschool  
City of North Las Vegas  
City of Henderson Parks & Rec  
Clark County Parks & Recreation - Safekey  
Griffith United Methodist Day School  
Hill & Dale CDC – Community Lutheran  
St. Anne School  
St. Viator School

### **SFSP**

Boys and Girls Club of Southern NV  
Three Square  
Culinary Academy of Las Vegas  
Clark County School District  
Colorado River Food Bank  
YMCA of Southern Nevada  
Communities in Schools  
City of the World

### **CACFP**

ABC Pre-School & Daycare  
Nellis Child Development Center  
Watch Me Grow  
Childtime Childcare, Inc.

### **RCCI**

Clark County Dept. of Juvenile Justice  
St. Jude's Ranch for Children  
Southern Nevada Child & Adolescent Services  
Westcare Nevada, Inc.

### **FFVP**

100 Academy of Excellence  
Cambeiro  
Cortez  
Dailey  
Diskin  
Goodsprings  
Gragson  
Innovations International  
Kelly  
Long  
Lunt  
McCaw  
Miller  
Miley Achievement  
Park  
Perkins  
Priest  
Sandy Valley  
Sewell  
Smith  
Stanford  
Tate  
Thorpe  
Variety  
Williams  
Whitney

### **CSFP**

East Valley Family Services

### **NSIP**

No Participation



## CLARK COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014

### TEFAP

Jewish Family Service Agency	Sandy Valley Food Sharing Program
Lutheran Social Services of Nevada	Las Vegas Rescue Mission
Trinity United Methodist Church	Emergency Aid of Boulder City
City Mission of Las Vegas	Cappalappa Family Resource Center
Reconciliation Apostolic Ministries	The Salvation Army-Mesquite Ext. Office
Colorado River Food Bank– Searchlight	Colorado River Food Bank
Moments of Blessings House of Prayer	Helping Hands of Vegas Valley, Inc.
Remnant Ministries	East Valley Family Services
Three Squares Food Bank	Jude 22-Senior Nutrition Program
Henderson Presbyterian Church	Catholic Charities of Southern NV
Second Baptist Church of Las Vegas	Church on the Street
Las Vegas Rescue Mission	Greater New Jerusalem Baptist Church
Salvation Army of No Las Vegas	Heritage United Methodist Church
CCSN-Lied Dining Facility	Vegas View Church Community Food Bank
Westcare Inc.	United Methodist Social Ministries
Hope House, Inc.	City Mission of Las Vegas
The Key Foundation	
St. Joseph's Catholic Church	
Salvation Army-Henderson	

## School Breakfast Program 2013-2014

<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>	<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>	<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>
Adams	<b>16.4</b>	Cartwright	<b>10</b>	Elizondo	<b>26.6</b>
Adcock	<b>54</b>	Christensen	<b>10.2</b>	Ferron	<b>22.3</b>
Alamo	<b>11.9</b>	Claude Perkins	<b>20.9</b>	Fine	<b>11.8</b>
Allen	<b>5.5</b>	Clyde Cox	<b>69.5</b>	Fitzgerald	<b>75.5</b>
Antonello	<b>12.9</b>	Conners	<b>13.8</b>	Fong	<b>75.9</b>
Bailey	<b>23.4</b>	Cortez +	<b>29.1</b>	Forbuss	<b>9.1</b>
Bartlett	<b>5.7</b>	Cozine	<b>15</b>	French	<b>16.9</b>
Bass	<b>12.7</b>	Craig	<b>49.8</b>	Frias	<b>6.3</b>
Batterman	<b>9.4</b>	Crestwood	<b>19.6</b>	Fyfe	<b>32.8</b>
Beatty	<b>8.5</b>	Culley	<b>63.1</b>	Galloway	<b>13.2</b>
Beckley	<b>62.3</b>	Cunningham	<b>23.7</b>	Garehime	<b>9.4</b>
Bell	<b>65.4</b>	Dailey	<b>57.5</b>	Gehring	<b>14.8</b>
Bendorf	<b>12.3</b>	Darnell	<b>12</b>	Gene Ward	<b>68</b>
Bennett	<b>18</b>	David Cox	<b>8.1</b>	Gibson	<b>9</b>
Bilbray	<b>7.5</b>	Dearing	<b>72.3</b>	Gilbert	<b>19.2</b>
Blue Diamond	<b>7.9</b>	Decker	<b>16.5</b>	Givens	<b>3.7</b>
Bonner	<b>6.1</b>	Derfelt	<b>14.3</b>	Glen Taylor	<b>6.9</b>
Booker +	<b>29.9</b>	Deskin	<b>14.4</b>	Goldfarb	<b>14.5</b>
Bozarth	<b>6.7</b>	Detwiler	<b>74.9</b>	Goodsprings	<b>78</b>
Bracken	<b>16.8</b>	Diaz +	<b>64.1</b>	Goolsby	<b>4.9</b>
Brookman	<b>9.6</b>	Dickens	<b>20</b>	Goynes	<b>8.2</b>
Bruner	<b>18.6</b>	Diskin	<b>27.4</b>	Gragson	<b>75.8</b>
Bunker	<b>29.1</b>	Dondero	<b>12.9</b>	Grant Bowler	<b>9</b>
Cahlan +	<b>79.6</b>	Dooley	<b>8.8</b>	Gray	<b>22.2</b>
Cambeiro +	<b>49.9</b>	Duncan	<b>20.4</b>	Griffith	<b>67.9</b>
Carl	<b>14.1</b>	Edwards +	<b>76</b>	Guy	<b>18.4</b>
Carson	<b>25.7</b>	Eisenberg	<b>16.9</b>	Hal Smith	<b>26.8</b>

**SBP data continued next page**

## School Breakfast Program -2013-2014

<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>	<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>	<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>
Hancock	<b>32.7</b>	Kelly +	<b>60.7</b>	Miller	<b>9.7</b>
Harmon	<b>45.8</b>	Kesterson	<b>5.7</b>	Mitchell	<b>7.5</b>
Harris	<b>23</b>	Kim	<b>11.6</b>	Moore	<b>65.5</b>
Hayden	<b>20.5</b>	Kitty Ward	<b>7.8</b>	Morrow	<b>9.1</b>
Hayes	<b>11.4</b>	Lake	<b>68.6</b>	Mountain View	<b>23.4</b>
Heard	<b>12.5</b>	Lamping	<b>2.3</b>	Nate Mack	<b>10.5</b>
Heckethorn	<b>9.9</b>	Lincoln	<b>60</b>	Neal	<b>11.6</b>
Helen Smith	<b>20</b>	Long	<b>31.7</b>	Newton	<b>7.5</b>
Herr	<b>24.7</b>	Lowman	<b>73.1</b>	Ober	<b>6.4</b>
Herron +	<b>44.6</b>	Lummis	<b>6.5</b>	O'Roarke	<b>6.1</b>
Hewetson +	<b>37.7</b>	Lundy	<b>27</b>	Paradise	<b>57.9</b>
Hickey	<b>68.3</b>	Lunt +	<b>69</b>	Park	<b>64.6</b>
Hill	<b>13.9</b>	Lynch +	<b>83.3</b>	Parson	<b>18.3</b>
Hinman	<b>23.9</b>	Mackey	<b>19.5</b>	Petersen	<b>72.4</b>
Hoggard	<b>17</b>	Manch	<b>82.1</b>	Piggott	<b>4.5</b>
Hollingsworth	<b>77.8</b>	Marion Earl	<b>18.7</b>	Pittman	<b>76.6</b>
Hummel	<b>16.6</b>	Martha King	<b>66.9</b>	Priest	<b>16.7</b>
Indian Springs	<b>24.4</b>	Martin King	<b>9.3</b>	Red Rock	<b>60.9</b>
Ira Earl	<b>67.2</b>	Martinez +	<b>36.6</b>	Reed	<b>27.7</b>
Iverson	<b>20.8</b>	May	<b>12.3</b>	Reedom	<b>5.3</b>
Jacobsen	<b>17.2</b>	McCall +	<b>61.3</b>	Reid	<b>59.4</b>
Jeffers +	<b>30.9</b>	McCaw	<b>18.1</b>	Rhodes	<b>10.4</b>
Joseph Bowler	<b>24.7</b>	McDoniel	<b>10.2</b>	Richard Bryan	<b>7.3</b>
Jydstrup	<b>12.5</b>	McMillan	<b>21.4</b>	Ries	<b>11</b>
Kahre	<b>15.1</b>	McWilliams	<b>29</b>	Robert Taylor	<b>56.3</b>
Katz	<b>20.6</b>	Mendoza	<b>14.7</b>	Roberts	<b>11.2</b>
Keller	<b>72.3</b>	Miley Achievement	<b>74.7</b>	Roger Bryan	<b>11.8</b>

**SBP data continued next page**

## School Breakfast Program-2013-2014

<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>	<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>	<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>
Rogers	<b>7.9</b>	Thompson	<b>10.7</b>	Wolff	<b>5.7</b>
Ronnow	<b>81.9</b>	Thorpe	<b>13.1</b>	Wooley	<b>61.2</b>
Ronzone	<b>64.9</b>	Tobler	<b>21.6</b>	Wright	<b>5</b>
Roundy	<b>69</b>	Tom Williams +	<b>75.8</b>	Wynn	<b>75.6</b>
Rowe	<b>19.2</b>	Tomiyasu	<b>14.9</b>	<b><u>Middle</u></b>	<b><u>Percent</u></b>
Rundle	<b>68.7</b>	Treem	<b>17.7</b>	Bailey	<b>17.7</b>
Sandy Miller	<b>20.5</b>	Triggs	<b>7.3</b>	Becker	<b>11.4</b>
Sandy Valley	<b>16.8</b>	Twin Lakes	<b>29.4</b>	Bob Miller	<b>3.7</b>
Scherkenbach	<b>10.2</b>	Twitchell	<b>4.2</b>	Bridger	<b>16.3</b>
Schorr	<b>11.4</b>	Ullom	<b>73.1</b>	Brinley	<b>23.6</b>
Scott	<b>15.6</b>	Ute Perkins	<b>20.2</b>	Brown	<b>13.6</b>
Sewell	<b>24.7</b>	Vanderburg	<b>2.8</b>	Burkholder	<b>10.6</b>
Simmons	<b>16.8</b>	Variety School	<b>68.7</b>	Cadwallader	<b>7</b>
Smalley	<b>5.3</b>	Vegas Verdes	<b>65</b>	Canarelli	<b>6.6</b>
Snyder	<b>74.4</b>	Virgin Valley	<b>19.2</b>	Cannon	<b>19.1</b>
Squires	<b>30.3</b>	Walker	<b>9.4</b>	Cashman	<b>17.7</b>
Stanford	<b>20.1</b>	Wallin	<b>2.8</b>	Cortney	<b>13.5</b>
Staton	<b>3.4</b>	Warren	<b>20.7</b>	Cram	<b>11</b>
Steele	<b>9.3</b>	Wasden	<b>20.3</b>	Escobedo	<b>9.9</b>
Stuckey	<b>8.2</b>	Watson	<b>28.9</b>	Faiss	<b>10.4</b>
Sunrise Acres +	<b>47</b>	Wendell Williams	<b>70.7</b>	Fertitta	<b>9.2</b>
Tanaka	<b>13.3</b>	Wengert	<b>25.1</b>	Findlay	<b>14.4</b>
Tarr	<b>13.7</b>	West Prep Acad	<b>25.5</b>	Fremont	<b>15.9</b>
Tartan	<b>20.6</b>	Whitney	<b>68.1</b>	Garrett	<b>11.2</b>
Tate	<b>66.8</b>	Wiener	<b>12.1</b>	Garside	<b>20.2</b>
Thiriot	<b>67.6</b>	Wilhelm	<b>22.4</b>	Gibson	<b>15.3</b>
Thomas	<b>68</b>	Wolfe	<b>23.3</b>	Greenspun	<b>5.9</b>
<b>SBP data continued next page</b>					

## School Breakfast Program-2013-2014

Elementary	Percent of Participation	Elementary	Percent of Participation	Elementary	Percent of Participation
Guinn	18.1	Sedway	19.6	Del Sol	13.5
Harney	13.9	Silvestri	6.1	Desert Oasis	7
Hughes	13.8	Smith	18.3	Desert Pines	12.4
Hyde Park	13.4	Swainston	16.5	Desert Rose	2.5
Indian Springs	26.2	Tarkanian	8.4	Durango	13.2
Jerome Mack	15.6	Variety School	55.7	East Career & Tech	11.5
Johnson	10.1	Von Tobel	14.7	Eldorado	9.1
Johnston	20.4	Washington Cont.	27.4	Foothill	3.8
Keller	12.3	Webb	4.8	Global Community	13.1
Knudson	14.2	White	10.4	Green Valley	4.1
Lawrence	9.5	Woodbury	19.5	Indian Springs	10.2
Leavitt	8	<b>High School</b>	<b>Percent</b>	Jeffrey Behavioral	36.9
Lied	8.4	Advanced Tech Acad	7.2	Las Vegas Academy	6.8
Lyon	8.2	Arbor View	3.7	Las Vegas	7.9
Mannion	6.5	Basic	12.1	Laughlin	20.8
Martin	18.7	Biltmore	23.6	Legacy	10.1
Miley Achievement	46.7	Bonanza	10.6	Liberty	6.7
Molasky	14.5	Boulder City	4.9	Moapa Valley	6.5
Monaco	16.8	Burk Horizon SW	8.3	Mojave	16.9
O'Callaghan	13.5	Canyon Springs	12.9	Morris Behavioral Jr/Sr	31.2
Orr	23.3	Centennial	5.3	NW CTA	5.2
Robison	15.6	Chaparral	14.3	Palo Verde	3.5
Rogich	3	Cheyenne	10.9	Petersen Behavior Jr/Sr	33.5
Sandy Valley	19	Cimarron Memorial	9.9	Rancho	8.6
Saville	9.7	Clark	11.5	Shadow Ridge	6.8
Sawyer	13.2	Coronado	1.6	Sierra Vista	5.8
Schofield	9.2	Cowan Behavior	13.5	Silverado	5.1

SBP data continued next page

## School Breakfast Program - 2013-2014

High School	Percent of Participation	High School	Percent of Participation	High School	Percent of Participation
South Continuation Jr/Sr	30.3	Spring Valley	8.1	Virgin Valley	13
SE CTA	10	Sunrise Mountain	15.8	West CTA	3.3
Southwest Behavior Jr/Sr	22.2	Valley	13.2	West Prep	16.5
Southwest Career & Tech	5.4	Veterans Tribute CTA	17.5	Western	14.5
100 Academy of Excellence	21.9	Agassi Preparatory Academy	16.5	Innovations ES	12.8
100 Academy of Excellence ES	34.2	Delta Academy		Innovations MS	6.8
<b>Special School</b>					
Stewart School	73.9				
<b>20.2% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>					



## National School Lunch Program - 2013-2014

Elementary	Percent of Participation	Elementary	Percent of Participation	Elementary	Percent of Participation
100 Academy ES	65.3	Cox Clyde ES	83.5	Gilbert ES	60.6
Adams ES	65.8	Cox David ES	37.8	Givens ES	22.2
Adcock ES	72.7	Cozine ES	58.9	Goldfarb ES	64.6
Agassi ES	61.9	Craig ES	72.9	Goodsprings ES	82.2
Alamo ES	49.5	Crestwood ES	74	Goolsby ES	24.7
Allen ES	25.3	Culley ES	71.3	Goynes ES	41.4
Antonello ES	57.9	Cunningham ES	68.6	Gragson ES	80.7
Bailey ES	70.2	Dailey ES	66.6	Gray ES	60.7
Bartlett ES	34.2	Darnell ES	40.1	Griffith ES	70.8
Bass ES	49.7	Dearing ES	78	Guy ES	50.5
Batterman ES	42.3	Decker ES	63.1	Hancock ES	75.7
Beatty ES	439.8	Derfelt ES	49.5	Harmon ES	72.5
				Harris ES	64.5
Bell ES	76	Detwiler ES	73.5	Hayden ES	60.1
Bendorf ES	51.9	Diaz ES	78.8	Hayes ES	44.8
Bennett ES	61.9	Dickens ES	60	Heard ES	40
Bilbray ES	37.7	Diskin ES	70.7	Heckethorn ES	33.2
Blue Diamond ES	31.9	Dondero ES	64.7	Herr ES	69.6
Bonner ES	32.4	Dooley ES	39.9	Herron ES	84
Booker ES	84.1	Duncan ES	51.3	Hewetson ES	84.1
Bowler Grant ES	29.4	Earl Marion ES	61.3	Hickey ES	76.1
Bowler Joseph ES	63.4	Edwards ES	88.1	Hill ES	53.4
Bozarth ES	33.3	Eisenberg ES	58	Hinman ES	70.2
Bracken ES	60.4	Elizondo ES	72.8	Hoggard ES	64
Brookman ES	54.2	Ferron ES	72.1	Hollingsworth ES	82.5
Bruner ES	57.9	Fine ES	47.5	Hummel ES	53.9
Bryan Richard ES	38.1	Fitzgerald ES	70.2	Indian Springs ES	47.1
Bryan Roger ES	55.5	Fong ES	72.6	Innovations ES	62.2
Bunker ES	68.6	Forbuss ES	42.4	Iverson ES	69.8
Cahlan ES	89.3	French ES	61.3	Jacobson ES	52.2
Cambeiro ES	80.4	Frias ES	73.2	Jeffers ES	87.2
Carl ES	43.3	Fyfe ES	73.2	Jydstrup ES	47
Carson ES	66.2	Galloway ES	44.6	Kahre ES	57.2
Cartwright ES	44.6	Garehime ES	41.2	Katz ES	57.6
Christensen ES	43.8	Gehring ES	50.1	Keller ES	50.6
Conners ES	45.7	Gibson ES	38.7	Kelly ES	76.7
Cortez ES	79.7			Kesterson ES	38

NSLP data continued next page

## National School Lunch Program - 2013-2014

Elementary	Percent of Participation	Elementary	Percent of Participation	Elementary	Percent of Participation
King Martha ES	68.4	Perkins Ute ES	55.4	Tartan ES	55.4
King Martin ES	41.6	Petersen ES	76.1	Tate ES	75.8
Lake ES	75.3	Piggott ES	41.9	Taylor Glen ES	30.6
Lamping ES	27.6	Pittman ES	77.1	Taylor Robert ES	68.8
Lincoln ES	86.1	Priest ES	56	Thiriot ES	73.1
Long ES	65.1	Rainbow Dreams	64.8	Thomas ES	74.2
Lowman ES	77.3	Red Rock ES	70.4	Thompson ES	43.2
Lummis ES	31.9	Reed ES	74.6	Thorpe ES	58.6
Lundy ES	36.3	Reedom ES	36.4	Tobler ES	54.4
Lunt ES	81.2	Reid ES	88.4	Tomiyasu ES	66.7
Lynch ES	88.8	Rhodes ES	39.6	Treem ES	52.7
Mackey ES	63.6	Ries ES	49.2	Twin Lakes ES	77.1
Manch ES	80	Roberts ES	39.6	Twitchell ES	29.6
Martinez ES	81.6	Rogers ES	51.2	Ullom ES	80.2
May ES	47.5	Ronnow ES	84.5	Vanderburg ES	17.4
McCall ES	78.1	Ronzone ES	71.1	Variety School ES	68.5
McCaw ES	58.2	Roundy ES	78.4	Vegas Verdes ES	72.4
McDoniel ES	45.4	Rowe ES	67.7	Virgin Valley ES	49.6
McMillan ES	62	Rundle ES	73.4	Walker ES	41.2
McWilliams ES	72.9	Sandy Valley ES	36.8	Wallin ES	24
Mendoza ES	60.4	Scherkenbach ES	39.2	Ward Gene ES	78.1
Miley Achvmt ES	74	Schorr ES	40.1	Ward Kitty ES	36.7
Miller Sandy ES	66.5	Scott ES	60.8	Warren ES	70
Miller Sch	13	Sewell ES	59.6	Wasden ES	68.2
Mitchell ES	34.8	Simmons ES	59.6	Watson ES	59.9
Moore ES	71.7	Smalley ES	30.8	Wengert ES	70.4
Morrow ES	33.9	Smith Hal ES	68.8	West Prep Acad ES	61.9
Mountain View ES	69	Smith Helen ES	54.9	Whitney ES	75.8
NateMack ES	43.6	Snyder ES	77.5	Wiener ES	40.4
Neal ES	40.1	Squires ES	82.9	Wilhelm ES	61.3
Newton ES	40.6	Stanford ES	71.4	Williams Tom ES	79.2
Ober ES	29.2	Staton ES	31.3	Williams Wendell ES	82.3
ORoarke ES	31.6	Steele ES	48.7	Wolfe ES	55
Paradise ES	72.8	Stuckey ES	39.8	Wolff ES	25.4
Park ES	80.5	Sunrise Acres ES	85.3	Woolley ES	71.8
Parson ES	59.2	Tanaka ES	44.4	Wright ES	32.8
Perkins Claude ES	63.9	Tarr ES	44.5	Wynn ES	77.5

NSLP data continued next page

## National School Lunch Program - 2013-2014

Middle	Percent of Participa- tion	Middle	Percent of Participa- tion	High School	Percent of Participation
100 Academy MS	<b>64.7</b>	Martin MS	<b>58.6</b>	West Prep Sec SEC	<b>61.9</b>
Bailey MS	<b>68</b>	Miller Bob MS	<b>25.1</b>	Coronado HS	<b>7.5</b>
Becker MS	<b>41.8</b>	Molasky JHS	<b>52</b>	Sierra Vista HS	<b>17.4</b>
Bridger MS	<b>54.1</b>	Monaco MS	<b>64.1</b>	Advanced Tech Academy	<b>28</b>
Brinley MS	<b>64.5</b>	OCallaghan MS	<b>55.2</b>	Arbor View HS	<b>15.3</b>
Brown JHS	<b>45.4</b>	Orr MS	<b>63.8</b>	Basic HS	<b>19.5</b>
Burkholder MS	<b>49</b>	Robison MS	<b>54.4</b>	Biltmore Cont	<b>24.6</b>
Cadwallader MS	<b>23</b>	Rogich MS	<b>19.4</b>	Bonanza HS	<b>34.2</b>
Canarelli MS	<b>35.3</b>	Saville MS	<b>33.6</b>	Boulder City HS	<b>19.7</b>
Cannon MS	<b>70</b>	Sawyer MS	<b>58.3</b>	Burk Horizon SW Alternative HS	<b>27.1</b>
Cashman MS	<b>51</b>	Schofield MS	<b>30.4</b>	Canyon Springs HS	<b>29.7</b>
Cortney MS	<b>59.9</b>	Sedway MS	<b>57.7</b>	Centennial HS	<b>12.4</b>
Cram MS	<b>40</b>	Silvestri JHS	<b>37.2</b>	Chaparral HS	<b>24.4</b>
Escobedo MS	<b>32.2</b>	Smith MS	<b>56.6</b>	Cheyenne HS	<b>32.3</b>
Faiss MS	<b>40.6</b>	Swainston MS	<b>57.8</b>	Cimarron Mem HS	<b>29.6</b>
Fertitta MS	<b>39.9</b>	Tarkanian MS	<b>40.6</b>	Clark HS	<b>33.6</b>
Findlay MS	<b>61</b>	Von Tobel MS	<b>63.8</b>	Del Sol HS	<b>39.4</b>
Fremont MS	<b>49.8</b>	Webb MS	<b>23.6</b>	Desert Oasis HS	<b>17.9</b>
Garrett JHS	<b>32.7</b>	White MS	<b>44.6</b>	Desert Pines HS	<b>39.9</b>
Garside JHS	<b>60.2</b>	Woodbury MS	<b>61.8</b>	Desert Rose Alternative HS	<b>6</b>
Gibson MS	<b>64.5</b>	Eldorado Middle	<b>32.2</b>	Durango HS	<b>31.6</b>
Greenspun MS	<b>25.2</b>	Washington JHS	<b>43.7</b>	Earl Ira ES	<b>78.2</b>
Guinn MS	<b>62</b>	<b>High School</b>		ECTA	<b>34.8</b>
Harney MS	<b>48.2</b>				
		Agassi SEC	<b>71.06%</b>	Eldorado HS	<b>16.5</b>
Hughes MS	<b>46.2</b>	Cowan Behavior	<b>39.7</b>	Foothill HS	<b>11.1</b>
Hyde Park MS	<b>37.3</b>	Indian Springs HS	<b>23.4</b>	Global Community	<b>37.2</b>
Indian Springs MS	<b>32.2</b>	Innovations SEC	<b>48.8</b>	Green Valley HS	<b>13.3</b>
Jerome Mack MS		Jeffrey Behavior	<b>43</b>	Las Vegas Acad	<b>13.3</b>
Johnson JHS	<b>49.1</b>	Laughlin JSHS	<b>44.8</b>	Las Vegas HS	<b>22.3</b>
Johnston MS	<b>56.5</b>	Miley Achvmt SEC	<b>49.2</b>	Legacy HS	<b>25.8</b>
Keller MS	<b>50.6</b>	Morris Behavior	<b>48.7</b>	Liberty HS	<b>17.1</b>
Knudson MS	<b>50.4</b>	Peterson Behavior	<b>33.9</b>	Moapa Valley HS	<b>9.9</b>
Lawrence JHS	<b>49.8</b>	Sandy Valley MS	<b>43.5</b>	Mojave HS	<b>39.2</b>
Leavitt MS	<b>31.6</b>	South Cont JSHS	<b>34.9</b>	NW Career & Tech	<b>18</b>
Lied MS	<b>40.9</b>	Southwest Behavio	<b>19.4</b>	Palo Verde HS	<b>10.5</b>
Lyon MS	<b>23.1</b>	Variety School E SEC	<b>61.9</b>	Rancho HS	<b>34.6</b>
Mannion MS	<b>25.7</b>	Virgin Valley HS	<b>19.1</b>	SECTA	<b>34.7</b>

NSLP data continued next page

### National School Lunch Program - 2013-2014

High School	Percent of Participation	High School	Percent of Participation	High School	Percent of Participation
Shadow Ridge HS	<b>18.6</b>	Spring Valley HS	<b>25.5</b>	SWCTA HS	<b>34.7</b>
Silverado HS	<b>13.7</b>	Sunrise Mountain HS	<b>35</b>	Valley HS	<b>38.7</b>
VTCTA HS	<b>44.2</b>	Western HS	<b>42.4</b>		
West C&T HS	<b>13.9</b>	Stewart Sch (Special)	<b>77.4</b>		

**46.6% Lunch Participation Rate**

School District:

Clark

### Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	139,783	180	25,744,140	9,705,683	38%	\$ 46,547,739.00	\$ 863,136.00	\$ 47,410,875.00	\$ 10,808,229.92	\$ 36,602,645.08
	3,240									
SY 12-13 Reduced	22,319	180	4,197,960	1,031,570	25%	\$ 6,227,001.00	\$ 213,037.20	\$ 6,440,038.20	\$ 945,200.46	\$ 5,494,837.74
	1,003									
SY 13-14 Free	153,577	180	28,238,400	9,694,886	34%	\$ 52,246,895.40	\$ 897,755.40	\$ 53,144,650.80	\$ 17,824,958.62	\$ 35,319,692.18
	3,303									
SY 13-14 Reduced	24,431	180	4,575,600	1,060,331	23%	\$ 6,992,152.20	\$ 215,404.20	\$ 7,207,556.40	\$ 1,649,078.36	\$ 5,558,478.04
	989									

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

Clark

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced- Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	143,023	180	25,744,140	19,820,499	77%	\$ 74,143,123.20	\$ 51,789,873.00	\$ 22,353,250.20
SY 12-13 Reduced	23,322	180	4,197,960	2,862,099	68%	\$ 10,410,940.80	\$ 5,464,409.85	\$ 4,946,530.95
SY 13-14 Free	142,858	180	25,714,440	20,340,860	79%	\$ 75,857,598.00	\$ 54,292,392.10	\$ 21,565,205.90
SY 13-14 Reduced	25,420	180	4,575,600	2,990,476	65%	\$ 11,667,780.00	\$ 6,711,206.98	\$ 4,956,573.02

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.



# DOUGLAS COUNTY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	2,036
High School Graduation Rate***	84.96%
Population*	47,118
Median Household Income*	\$60,100
Poverty Rate*	10.2%
Unemployment Rate**	10.5%

Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$1,241,717**

## Douglas County School District - Enrollment

**6,121**

Elementary 6	Middle School 3	High School 2	Juvenile Centers 2
<b>Other Educational Facilities</b>			
Child Care 13	Charter Schools 0	Private Schools 5	

## **DOUGLAS COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

Trinity Lutheran Child Care Center

### **SFSP**

Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California

### **FFVP**

C.C. Meneley

Jacks Valley

### **CACFP**

No Participation

### **RCCI**

China Springs Youth Camp

### **CFSP**

No Participation

### **NSIP**

Douglas Co Senior Services Program

### **TEFAP**

Tahoe Douglas Senior Center

Nevada State-Dresslerville

Carson Valley Community Food Clos-  
et

# DOUGLAS COUNTY

School Breakfast Program 2013-2014			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
CC Meneley	16.1	Carson Valley	10.1
Gardnerville	9.4	Pau Wa Lu	13.8
Jacks Valley	15.5	<u>High School</u>	
Minden	13.3	Douglas	8.8
Pinon Hills	0	Whittell	26
Scarselli	16.2	<u>Alternate</u>	
Zephyr Cove	13.3	ASPIRE	25
11.5% Breakfast Participation Rate			

# DOUGLAS COUNTY

## National School Lunch Program

2013-2014

<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Gardnerville ES	42.6
Jacks Valley ES	54.8
Meneley ES	51.8
Minden ES	39.7
Pinon Hills ES	26.5
Scarselli ES	51.6
Zephyr Cove ES	45.1
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Carson Valley MS	33.5
Pau Wa Lu MS	39.2
<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Douglas HS	16.4
Whittell HS	25
<u>Alternative</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Aspire Academy HS	45.5%

**37% Lunch Participation Rate**

School District:

Douglas

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <b>severe</b> / <b>regular</b> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	1,765	180	326,340	99,425	30%	\$ 587,745.00	\$ 12,787.20	\$ 600,532.20	\$ 157,861.12	\$ 442,671.08
SY 12-13 Reduced	383	180	71,640	11,663	16%	\$ 106,857.00	\$ 3,186.00	\$ 110,043.00	\$ 16,375.72	\$ 93,667.28
SY 13-14 Free	1,658	180	309,960	95,129	31%	\$ 564,051.60	\$ 17,395.20	\$ 581,446.80	\$ 158,631.48	\$ 422,815.32
SY 13-14 Reduced	306	180	56,520	9,618	17%	\$ 87,577.20	\$ 1,742.40	\$ 89,319.60	\$ 13,499.10	\$ 75,820.50

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

**Douglas**

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	1813	180	326,340	226,156	69%	\$ 939,859.20	\$ 612,315.52	\$ 327,543.68
SY 12-13 Reduced	398	180	71,640	42,207	59%	\$ 177,667.20	\$ 108,023.84	\$ 69,643.36
SY 13-14 Free	1722	180	309,960	225,334	73%	\$ 914,382.00	\$ 622,732.01	\$ 291,649.99
SY 13-14 Reduced	314	180	56,520	35,803	63%	\$ 144,126.00	\$ 103,734.90	\$ 40,391.10

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

# ELKO COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	3,484
High School Graduation Rate***	70.47%
Population*	52,384
Median Household Income*	\$70,238
Poverty Rate*	8.8%
Unemployment Rate**	6.0%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

\$1,207,802

Elko County School District - Enrollment			9,945
Elementary	Middle School	High School	Juvenile Centers
13	7	7	2
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
14	1	1	



## **ELKO COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

Elko Band Council

Elko County School District

Shoshone Paiute Tribe

Elko County Human & Social Services

### **FFVP**

No Participation

### **CACFP**

No Participation

### **RCCI**

Nevada Youth Center

Northeastern Nevada Juvenile Detention  
Center

### **CSFP**

Friends in Service Helping –Elko

### **NSIP**

City of Carlin

Elko Senior Activities Program

Silver Sage Senior Center

### **TEFAP**

Silver Sage Senior Center

Friends in Service Helping-Elko

JAS Foundation

## School Breakfast Program

2013-2014

<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Carlin	0	Flagview	0.0
Grammar #2	0.0	Jackpot	14.4
Jackpot	20.8	Owyhee	9.8
Mountain View	0.0	Spring Creek	0.0
Northside	0.0	Wells	0.0
Owyhee	27.1	West Wendover	16.5
Sage	0.0	<u>High School</u>	
Southside	19.6	Carlin	0.0
Spring Creek	0.0	Elko	0.0
Wells	0.0	Jackpot	7.3
West Wendover	18.5	Owyhee	13.4
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent</u>	Spring Creek	0.0
Adobe	0.0	Wells	0.0
Carlin	0.0	West Wendover	16.2

**18.9% Breakfast Participation Rate**

## National School Lunch Program

2013-2014

<b><u>Elementary</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
Carlin ES	<b>49.2</b>
Elko Grammar #2 ES	<b>52.8</b>
Jackpot ES	<b>68.8</b>
Mountain View ES	<b>38.3</b>
Northside ES	<b>53.6</b>
Owyhee ES	<b>83</b>
Sage ES	<b>53.6</b>
Southside ES	<b>58.8</b>
Spring Creek ES	<b>37</b>
Wells ES	<b>65.9</b>
West Wendover ES	<b>72.4</b>
<b><u>Middle</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
Adobe MS	<b>39.4</b>
Carlin JHS	<b>29.7</b>
Flagview Int Sch	<b>59.3</b>
Jackpot JHS	<b>55.2</b>
Owyhee JHS	<b>63.3</b>
Spring Creek MS	<b>55.8</b>
Wells JHS	<b>54.7</b>
West Wendover JHS	<b>62.1</b>
<b><u>High School</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
Carlin HS	<b>10.4</b>
Elko HS	<b>4</b>
Jackpot HS	<b>19.5</b>
Owyhee HS	<b>59.5</b>
Spring Creek HS	<b>35.6</b>
Wells HS	<b>18.3</b>
West Wendover HS	<b>39.1</b>
<b>44% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

**Elko**

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	2,584	180	465,120	69,009	15%	\$ 860,472.00	-	\$ 860,472.00	\$ 116,777.12	\$ 743,694.88
SY 12-13 Reduced	914	180	164,520	13,742	8%	\$ 255,006.00	-	\$ 255,006.00	\$ 24,174.68	\$ 230,831.32
SY 13-14 Free	2,567	180	462,060	61,996	13%	\$ 873,293.40	-	\$ 873,293.40	\$ 114,460.29	\$ 758,833.11
SY 13-14 Reduced	917	180	165,060	14,225	9%	\$ 262,445.40	-	\$ 262,445.40	\$ 22,075.56	\$ 240,369.84

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

**Elko**


## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced- Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	2584	180	465,120	327,343	70%	\$ 1,339,545.60	\$ 870,617.60	\$ 468,928.00
SY 12-13 Reduced	914	180	164,520	99,161	60%	\$ 408,009.60	\$ 243,975.84	\$ 164,033.76
SY 13-14 Free	2567	180	462,060	330,595	72%	\$ 1,363,077.00	\$ 892,089.58	\$ 470,987.42
SY 13-14 Reduced	917	180	165,060	102,723	62%	\$ 420,903.00	\$ 244,573.32	\$ 176,329.68

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

# ESMERALDA COUNTY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	43
High School Graduation Rate***	n/a
Population*	832
Median Household Income*	\$30,284
Poverty Rate*	22.3%
Unemployment Rate**	3.6%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

\$9,561

## Esmeralda County School District - Enrollment

**78**

Elementary  
2

Middle School  
0

High School  
0

Juvenile Centers  
0

## Other Educational Facilities

Child Care  
0

Charter Schools  
0

Private Schools  
0

## **ESMERALDA COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **FFVP**

No Participation

### **CACFP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CSFP**

No Participation

### **NSIP**

No Participation

### **TEFAP**

Esmeralda County-Goldfield



School Breakfast Program 2013-2014			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Dyer	82.5	n/a	
Goldfield	56	<u>High School</u>	
Silver Peak	52.1	n/a	
<b>66.8% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

National School Lunch Program 2013-2014	
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Dyer ES	0
Goldfield ES	0
Silver Peak ES	0
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
N/A	
<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
N/A	
<b>0% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

**Esmeralda**

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <span style="color: red;">severe</span> / <span style="color: blue;">regular</span> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	0	144	5,040	5,005	99%	\$ -	\$ 7,459.20	\$ 7,459.20	\$ 8,150.25	\$ (691.05)
SY 12-13 Reduced	0	144	432	314	73%	\$ -	\$ 509.76	\$ 509.76	\$ 317.42	\$ 192.34
SY 13-14 Free	0	144	5,760	5,261	91%	\$ -	\$ 8,697.60	\$ 8,697.60	\$ 8,312.38	\$ 385.22
SY 13-14 Reduced	3	144	432	463	107%	\$ -	\$ 522.72	\$ 522.72	\$ 592.64	\$ (69.92)

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

**Esmeralda**

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement


A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	35	144	5,040	0	0%	\$ 14,515.20	\$ -	\$ 14,515.20
SY 12-13 Reduced	3	144	432	0	0%	\$ 1,071.36	\$ -	\$ 1,071.36
SY 13-14 Free	40	144	5,760	0	0%	\$ 16,992.00	\$ -	\$ 16,992.00
SY 13-14 Reduced	3	144	432	0	0%	\$ 1,101.60	\$ -	\$ 1,101.60

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

\*\*LUNCH PROGRAM NOT UTILIZED BY ESMERALDA COUNTY

This page intentionally left blank

# EUREKA COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	56
High School Graduation Rate***	95%
Population*	2,076
Median Household Income*	\$64,632
Poverty Rate*	13.9%
Unemployment Rate**	6.3%

Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$27,757**

Eureka County School District - Enrollment			246
Elementary	Middle School	High School	Juvenile Centers
2	1	1	0
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
0	0	0	

## **EUREKA COUNTY PROGRAMS**

### **2013-2014**

#### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

#### **SFSP**

No Sponsors Participating

#### **FFVP**

No Participation

#### **CACFP**

No Sponsors Participating

#### **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

#### **CSFP**

No Sponsors Participating

#### **NSIP**

Eureka Co Senior Center

Crescent Valley Senior Center

#### **TEFAP**

Eureka Senior Center

School Breakfast Program			
2013-2014			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Crescent Valley	20.2	n/a	
Eureka	20.6		
<u>Middle</u>		<u>Combined</u>	
n/a		Eureka 7-12	26.6
<b>22.8% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

National School Lunch Program	
2013-2014	
Elementary	Percent of Participation
Crescent Vly ES	52.9
Eureka ES	64
Middle/High School	Percent of Participation
Eureka Co	66.7
<b>63.4% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

**Eureka**

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <b>severe</b> / <b>regular</b> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B=C*B=C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	6	144	4,464	2,278	51%	\$ 1,598.40	\$ 5,328.00	\$ 6,926.40	\$ 3,373.56	\$ 3,552.84
SY 12-13 Reduced	1	144	4,176	1,942	47%	\$ 223.20	\$ 4,757.76	\$ 4,980.96	\$ 977.68	\$ 4,003.28
SY 13-14 Free	8	144	3,600	1,786	50%	\$ 2,177.28	\$ 3,696.48	\$ 5,873.76	\$ 2,970.99	\$ 2,902.77
SY 13-14 Reduced	2	144	4,464	1,835	41%	\$ 457.92	\$ 5,052.96	\$ 5,510.88	\$ 2,353.76	\$ 3,157.12

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.



School District:

**Eureka**

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced- Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R- Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R- eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	31	144	4,464	3,862	87%	\$ 12,856.32	\$ 11,647.04	\$ 1,209.28
SY 12-13 Reduced	29	144	4,176	3,106	74%	\$ 10,356.48	\$ 5,155.04	\$ 5,201.44
SY 13-14 Free	31	144	4,464	2,869	64%	\$ 13,168.80	\$ 12,467.77	\$ 701.03
SY 13-14 Reduced	25	144	3,600	2,934	82%	\$ 9,180.00	\$ 4,652.31	\$ 4,527.69

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank

# HUMBOLDT COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	1,249
High School Graduation Rate***	67.07%
Population*	17,363
Median Household Income*	\$59,472
Poverty Rate*	12.3%
Unemployment Rate**	6.0%

Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$504,634**

Humboldt County School District - Enrollment			3,517
Elementary	Middle School	High School	Juvenile Centers
7	3	2	1
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
5	0	0	

# **HUMBOLDT COUNTY PROGRAMS**

## **2013-2014**

### **SMP**

Sonoma Heights RS  
Zion Lutheran Pre School

### **SFSP**

Fort McDermitt Paiute Tribe-Shoshone Tribe  
Frontier Community Action Agency

### **FFVP**

McDermitt ES  
Winnemucca

### **CACFP**

No Participation

### **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CSFP**

No Participation

### **NSIP**

Senior Citizens of Humboldt County, Inc.

### **TEFAP**

Nevada State-McDermitt  
Humboldt Co Indigent Services

School Breakfast Program 2013-2014			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
French Ford	0.0	Mc Dermitt	32.7
Grass Valley	0.0	Winnemucca	0.0
Mc Dermitt	42.5	<u>High School</u>	
Sonoma Heights	0.0	Albert M Lowry	0.0
Winnemucca	17.6	Mc Dermitt	14.7
<b>21.3% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

National School Lunch Program 2013-2014	
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Grass Valley ES	31.5
Winnemucca GS	44.3
McDermitt ES	82
Sonoma Heights ES	43.7
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
French Ford MS	25.5
McDermitt JHS	74.4
Winnemucca JHS	28.2
<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Lowry HS	12.7
McDermitt HS	51.7
<b>29.6% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

**Humboldt**

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <i>severe</i> / <i>regular</i> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	796	144	114,624	11,002	10%	\$ 212,054.40	\$ -	\$ 212,054.40	\$ 20,949.28	\$ 191,105.12
SY 12-13 Reduced	315	144	45,360	2,797	6%	\$ 70,308.00	\$ -	\$ 70,308.00	\$ 4,362.48	\$ 65,945.52
SY 13-14 Free	252	144	36,288	15,572	43%	\$ 68,584.32	\$ -	\$ 68,584.32	\$ 29,431.08	\$ 39,153.24
SY 13-14 Reduced	47	144	6,768	2,842	42%	\$ 10,761.12	\$ -	\$ 10,761.12	\$ 4,518.78	\$ 6,242.34
299										

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

**Humboldt**

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement


A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	796	144	114,624	100,351	88%	\$ 330,117.12	\$ 288,744.32	\$ 41,372.80
SY 12-13 Reduced	315	144	45,360	30,088	66%	\$ 112,492.80	\$ 66,126.96	\$ 46,365.84
SY 13-14 Free	936	144	134,784	111,417	83%	\$ 397,612.80	\$ 312,998.92	\$ 84,613.88
SY 13-14 Reduced	358	144	51,552	30,698	60%	\$ 131,457.60	\$ 65,895.48	\$ 65,562.12

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank



# LANDER COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	299
High School Graduation Rate***	71.57%
Population*	6,032
Median Household Income*	\$72,742
Poverty Rate*	9.3%
Unemployment Rate**	5.2%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$85,790**

Lander County School District - Enrollment			1,121
Elementary	Middle School	High School	Juvenile Centers
3	2	2	0
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
3	0	0	

# **LANDER COUNTY PROGRAMS**

## **2013-2014**

### **SMP**

Battle Mountain Elementary

### **SFSP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **FFVP**

No Participation

### **CACFP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CSFP**

No Participation

### **NSIP**

Lander Co Austin Senior Center

Lander Co Senior Program

### **TEFAP**

Assembly of God

Helping Hands of Austin

School Breakfast Program 2013-2014			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Battle Mountain	0.0	Battle Mountain	0.0
Eleanor Lemaire	0.0		
<u>Middle</u>		<u>Combined</u>	
Battle Mountain	0.0	Austin K-12	0.0
<b>0.0% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

National School Lunch Program 2013-2014	
<u>Elementary/Combined</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Austin School (PK-12)	
Battle Mountain ES	46.3
Lemaire ES	68.7
<u>Middle/Combined</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Austin School (PK-12)	
Battle Mountain JH	24.70%
<u>High School/Combined</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Austin School (PK-12)	51
Battle Mountain HS	2.9
<b>32.9% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

**Lander**

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	**E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	219	144	31,536	0	0%	\$ 58,341.60	-	\$ 58,341.60	\$ -	\$ 58,341.60
SY 12-13 Reduced	71	144	10,224	0	0%	\$ 15,847.20	-	\$ 15,847.20	\$ -	\$ 15,847.20
SY 13-14 Free	252	144	36,288	0	0%	\$ 68,584.32	-	\$ 68,584.32	\$ -	\$ 68,584.32
SY 13-14 Reduced	47	144	6,768	0	0%	\$ 10,761.12	-	\$ 10,761.12	\$ -	\$ 10,761.12

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

\*\* BREAKFAST PROGRAM NOT UTILIZED IN LANDER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT.

School District:

Lander

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	219	144	31,536	18,239	58%	\$ 90,823.68	\$ 50,434.24	\$ 40,389.44
SY 12-13 Reduced	71	144	10,224	5,812	57%	\$ 25,355.52	\$ 12,038.48	\$ 13,317.04
SY 13-14 Free	252	144	36,288	21,609	60%	\$ 107,049.60	\$ 56,300.25	\$ 50,749.35
SY 13-14 Reduced	47	144	6,768	3,248	48%	\$ 17,258.40	\$ 11,044.20	\$ 6,214.20

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank

# LINCOLN COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	315
High School Graduation Rate***	n/a
Population*	5,245
Median Household Income*	\$40,143
Poverty Rate*	17.3%
Unemployment Rate**	12.3%

Child Nutrition Programs  
Funding

**\$375,635**

Lincoln County School District - Enrollment			973
Elementary 4	Middle School 3	High School 3	Juvenile Centers 1
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care 0	Charter Schools 0	Private Schools 0	

## **LINCOLN COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **FFVP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CACFP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **RCCI**

Caliente Youth Training Center

### **CSFP**

No Participation

### **NSIP**

Lincoln County Human Services

### **TEFAP**

Lincoln County Human Services



School Breakfast Program 2013-2014			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Caliente	21.8	Meadow Valley	35.6
Pahrnagat Valley	24.2	Pahrnagat Valley	48
Panaca	15.7	<u>High School</u>	
Pioche	42.4	Lincoln County	55.2
		Pahrnagat Valley	31.1
<b>34.6% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

National School Lunch Program 2013-2014	
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Caliente ES	59.9
Pahrnagat Valley ES	47.3
Panaca ES	49.3
Pioche ES	55.8
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Meadow Valley MS	47.5
Pahrnagat Valley MS	47.3
<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Lincoln Co HS	41.3
Pahrnagat Valley HS	22.7
<b>46.8% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	

School District:

Lincoln

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <b>severe</b> / <b>regular</b> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	197	144	28,368	18,130	64%	\$ 52,480.80	\$ -	\$ 52,480.80	\$ 32,848.64	\$ 19,632.16
SY 12-13 Reduced	103	144	14,832	7,970	54%	\$ 22,989.60	\$ -	\$ 22,989.60	\$ 13,169.20	\$ 9,820.40
SY 13-14 Free	202	144	29,088	16,912	58%	\$ 54,976.32	\$ -	\$ 54,976.32	\$ 31,963.68	\$ 23,012.64
SY 13-14 Reduced	113	144	16,272	8,020	49%	\$ 25,872.48	\$ -	\$ 25,872.48	\$ 12,751.80	\$ 13,120.68

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

Lincoln

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	197	144	28,368	21,365	75%	\$ 81,699.84	\$ 59,500.00	\$ 22,199.84
SY 12-13 Reduced	103	144	14,832	10,292	69%	\$ 36,783.36	\$ 24,429.60	\$ 12,353.76
SY 13-14 Free	202	144	29,088	23,512	81%	\$ 85,809.60	\$ 60,557.74	\$ 25,251.86
SY 13-14 Reduced	113	144	16,272	10,677	66%	\$ 41,493.60	\$ 26,081.85	\$ 15,411.75

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank

# LYON COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	3,766
High School Graduation Rate***	78.55%
Population*	51,557
Median Household Income*	\$46,137
Poverty Rate*	15%
Unemployment Rate**	13.4%

Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$2,192,235**

## Lyon County School District - Enrollment

**8,086**

Elementary 9	Middle School 5	High School 3	Juvenile Centers 2
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care 12	Charter Schools 0	Private Schools 3	

## **LYON COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

Calvary Chapel Dayton Valley

Boys & Girls Club of Mason Valley

Boys & Girls Club of Truckee Meadows

Yerington Paiute Tribe

### **FFVP**

Dayton ES

Fernley ES

Silver Springs ES

Sutro ES

Yerington ES

### **CACFP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **RCCI**

Rite of Passage, ATCS

Boys & Girls Club of Mason Valley

### **CSFP**

Food Bank of Northern NV

### **NSIP**

Older Americans of Lyon County

Lyon County Human Services

### **TEFAP**

Boys & Girls Club of Mason Valley

Healthy Comm Coalition– Dayton FBNK

Living Faith Christian Fellowship

Healthy Comm Coalition– Silver Stage

## School Breakfast Program

2013-2014

<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Cottonwood	<b>14.9</b>	Dayton	<b>11.9</b>
Dayton	<b>19.3</b>	Fernley	<b>18</b>
East Valley	<b>21.6</b>	Silverland	<b>13.6</b>
Fernley	<b>27.2</b>	Yerington	<b>20.2</b>
Riverview	<b>20.9</b>	<b><u>High School</u></b>	
Silver Stage	<b>82.1</b>	Dayton	<b>5.9</b>
Sutro	<b>17</b>	Fernley	<b>9.6</b>
Yerington	<b>19.1</b>	Silver Stage	<b>20.4</b>
<b><u>Combined</u></b>	<b><u>Percent</u></b>	Yerington	<b>4.7</b>
Smith Valley PK-12	<b>27.2</b>		
<b>21% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

## National School Lunch Program

2013-2014

<b>Elementary</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>
Smith Valley School (K-12)	<b>42.3</b>
Cottonwood ES	<b>45.1</b>
East Valley ES	<b>50.8</b>
Yerington ES	<b>60.2</b>
Riverview ES	<b>48.1</b>
Sutro ES	<b>64.2</b>
Dayton ES	<b>61.7</b>
Fernley ES	<b>58.8</b>
<b>Middle</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>
Smith Valley School (K-12)	<b>42.3</b>
Fernley IS	<b>39</b>
Yerington IS	<b>60.5</b>
Dayton IS	<b>42.3</b>
Silverland MS	<b>46.2</b>
<b>High School</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>
Smith Valley School (K-12)	<b>42.3</b>
Dayton HS	<b>15.2</b>
Fernley HS	<b>27.9</b>
Silver Stage HS	<b>44.7</b>
Yerington HS	<b>11.8</b>
<b>44.6% Lunch Participation Rate</b>	



School District:

Lyon

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <span style="color: red;">severe</span> / <span style="color: blue;">regular</span> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	<span style="color: red;">3,374</span>	180	607,320	224,299	37%	\$ 1,123,542.00	\$ -	\$ 1,123,542.00	\$ 315,924.84	\$ 807,617.16
SY 12-13 Reduced	<span style="color: red;">798</span>	180	143,640	31,176	22%	\$ 222,642.00	\$ -	\$ 222,642.00	\$ 33,955.80	\$ 188,686.20
SY 13-14 Free	<span style="color: red;">3,047</span>	180	548,460	226,953	41%	\$ 1,036,589.40	\$ -	\$ 1,036,589.40	\$ 381,579.66	\$ 655,009.74
SY 13-14 Reduced	<span style="color: red;">719</span>	180	129,420	34,977	27%	\$ 205,777.80	\$ -	\$ 205,777.80	\$ 49,838.55	\$ 155,939.25

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

**Lyon**

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate =G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	3374	180	607,320	432,126	71%	\$ 1,749,081.60	\$ 1,073,386.78	\$ 675,694.82
SY 12-13 Reduced	798	180	143,640	85,522	60%	\$ 356,227.20	\$ 207,249.12	\$ 148,978.08
SY 13-14 Free	3047	180	548,460	433,386	79%	\$ 1,617,957.00	\$ 1,116,513.36	\$ 501,443.64
SY 13-14 Reduced	719	180	129,420	93,651	72%	\$ 330,021.00	\$ 192,177.51	\$ 137,843.49

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

# MINERAL COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	281
High School Graduation Rate***	51.52%
Population*	4,614
Median Household Income*	\$35,017
Poverty Rate*	20.5%
Unemployment Rate**	12.4%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$108,556**

Mineral County School District - Enrollment			<b>459</b>
Elementary	Middle School	High School	Juvenile Centers
2	1	1	1
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
0	0	0	

# **MINERAL COUNTY PROGRAMS**

## **2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

Mineral County Parks and Recreation

Walker River Paiute Tribe

### **FFVP**

No Participation

### **CACFP**

No Participation

### **RCCI**

Don Goforth Resource Center

### **CSFP**

Food Bank of Northern NV

### **NSIP**

Mina Care & Share

Mineral Co Care and Share

### **TEFAP**

Consolidated Agencies for Human Services

<u>School Breakfast Program</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Hawthorne	15.3	Hawthorne	0
Schurz PK-8	38.9	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Mineral	0.1
<b>8.8% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

<u>National School Lunch Program</u>			
<u>2013-2014</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Hawthorne	46.2	Hawthorne	50.4
		Alternative Ed.	13.9
Schurz PK-8	83.1	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Mineral	1.6
<b>40.1% Lunch Participation Rate</b>			

School District:

Mineral

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	237	180	42,660	8,645	20%	\$ 78,921.00	\$ -	\$ 78,921.00	\$ 26,667.52	\$ 52,253.48
SY 12-13 Reduced	31	180	5,580	933	17%	\$ 8,649.00	\$ -	\$ 8,649.00	\$ 2,804.66	\$ 5,844.34
SY 13-14 Free	178	180	32,040	7,796	24%	\$ 60,555.60	\$ -	\$ 60,555.60	\$ 14,734.44	\$ 45,821.16
SY 13-14 Reduced	49	180	8,820	1,234	14%	\$ 14,023.80	\$ -	\$ 14,023.80	\$ 1,962.06	\$ 12,061.74

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

Mineral

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced- Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R- Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R- eligible in School Lunch Program (G- H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	237	180	42,660	22,012	52%	\$ 122,860.80	\$ 82,126.02	\$ 40,734.78
SY 12-13 Reduced	31	180	5,580	2,665	48%	\$ 13,838.40	\$ 10,354.50	\$ 3,483.90
SY 13-14 Free	178	180	32,040	22,071	69%	\$ 94,518.00	\$ 80,773.29	\$ 13,744.71
SY 13-14 Reduced	49	180	8,820	3,761	43%	\$ 22,491.00	\$ 10,692.86	\$ 11,798.14

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank



# NYE COUNTY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	3,149
High School Graduation Rate***	70.2%
Population*	42,297
Median Household Income*	\$39,876
Poverty Rate*	18.9%
Unemployment Rate**	11.9%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$1,804,105**

## Nye County School District - Enrollment

**5,171**

Elementary	Middle School	High School	Juvenile Centers
10	8	6	0
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
7	0	3	

# **NYE COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

## **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

## **SFSP**

Nye County School District

## **FFVP**

Amargosa ES

Beatty ES

Floyd ES

Gabbs ES

Hafen ES

J.G. Johnson ES

Manse ES

## **CACFP**

No Participation

## **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

## **CSFP**

No Participiaption

## **NSIP**

Nye County Seniors– Amargosa, Beatty, Tonopah

Pahrump Senior Center

## **TEFAP**

First Baptist Church of Tonopah

Apostolic Assembly of the Faith in Christ Jesus

Beatty Baptist Church

Smokey Valley Community Church

New Hope Fellowship

## School Breakfast Program

2013-2014

<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Amargosa Valley	<b>69.8</b>	Beatty	<b>55.3</b>
Beatty	<b>54.1</b>	Clarke	<b>25</b>
Floyd	<b>16.3</b>	Gabbs	<b>65.9</b>
Gabbs	<b>61.4</b>	Round Mountain	<b>7.8</b>
Hafen	<b>20.1</b>	Tonopah	<b>7.3</b>
Johnson	<b>26.2</b>	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Manse	<b>26.9</b>	Beatty	<b>35.7</b>
Round Mountain	<b>20.4</b>	Gabbs	<b>57.9</b>
Tonopah	<b>17.7</b>	Pahrump	<b>19.8</b>
<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	Round Mountain	<b>2.9</b>
Amargosa Valley	<b>44.7</b>	Tonopah	<b>48.9</b>
<b>25.8% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

## National School Lunch Program

2013-2014

<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Amargosa Valley	<b>75.2</b>	Beatty	<b>52.6</b>
Beatty	<b>66</b>	Clarke	<b>76.4</b>
Floyd	<b>64.5</b>	Gabbs	<b>74.9</b>
Gabbs	<b>71.2</b>	Round Mountain	<b>41.7</b>
Hafen	<b>60.9</b>	Tonopah	<b>65.3</b>
Johnson	<b>74.6</b>	<b><u>High School</u></b>	
Manse	<b>70.3</b>	Beatty	<b>52.6</b>
Round Mountain	<b>62.4</b>	Gabbs	<b>69.3</b>
Tonopah	<b>67.1</b>	Pahrump	<b>41.5</b>
<b><u>Middle</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>	Round Mountain	<b>20.4</b>
Amargosa Valley	<b>91</b>	Tonopah	<b>39.2</b>
<b>63.5% Lunch Participation Rate</b>			

School District:

Nye

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	2,798	180	508,860	174,410	34%	\$ 931,734.00	\$ 7,725.60	\$ 939,459.60	\$ 317,157.52	\$ 622,302.08
SY 12-13 Reduced	372	180	70,020	15,800	23%	\$ 103,788.00	\$ 3,610.80	\$ 107,398.80	\$ 24,518.66	\$ 82,880.14
SY 13-14 Free	2,783	180	504,540	178,494	35%	\$ 946,776.60	\$ 5,436.00	\$ 952,212.60	\$ 337,031.88	\$ 615,180.72
SY 13-14 Reduced	326	180	62,280	15,914	26%	\$ 93,301.20	\$ 4,356.00	\$ 97,657.20	\$ 25,046.27	\$ 72,610.93

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

Nye

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	2827	180	508,860	369,552	73%	\$ 1,465,516.80	\$ 1,006,725.32	\$ 458,791.48
SY 12-13 Reduced	389	180	70,020	43,957	63%	\$ 173,649.60	\$ 99,375.12	\$ 74,274.48
SY 13-14 Free	2803	180	504,540	373,347	74%	\$ 1,488,393.00	\$ 1,019,678.04	\$ 468,714.96
SY 13-14 Reduced	346	180	62,280	41,535	67%	\$ 158,814.00	\$ 109,297.09	\$ 49,516.91

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

# PERSHING COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	451
High School Graduation Rate***	80.39%
Population*	6,877
Median Household Income*	\$52,101
Poverty Rate*	18.0%
Unemployment Rate**	9.9%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$170,578**

Pershing County School District - Enrollment			710
Elementary	Middle School	High School	Juvenile Centers
2	1	1	0
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care	Charter Schools	Private Schools	
1	0	0	

## **PERSHING COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

Frontier Community Action Agency

### **FFVP**

Imlay ES

Lovelock ES

### **CACFP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CSFP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **NSIP**

Pershing County Senior Center

### **TEFAP**

Episcopal Diocese of Nevada



<u>School Breakfast Program</u>			
<u>2013-2014</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Imlay	<b>41.5</b>	Pershing County	<b>24.3</b>
Lovelock	<b>29.5</b>	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Pershing County	<b>14.5</b>
<b>24% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

<u>National School Lunch Program</u>			
<u>2013-2014</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Imlay	<b>54.9</b>	Pershing County	<b>61.9</b>
Lovelock	<b>66.4</b>	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Pershing County	<b>19.5</b>
<b>51.2% Lunch Participation Rate</b>			

School District:

Pershing

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	440	144	63,360	22,718	36%	\$ 117,216.00	\$ -	\$ 117,216.00	\$ 35,963.84	\$ 81,252.16
SY 12-13 Reduced	17	144	2,448	671	27%	\$ 3,794.40	\$ -	\$ 3,794.40	\$ 1,674.62	\$ 2,119.78
SY 13-14 Free	412	144	59,328	15,987	27%	\$ 112,129.92	\$ -	\$ 112,129.92	\$ 30,215.43	\$ 81,914.49
SY 13-14 Reduced	39	144	5,616	3,016	54%	\$ 8,929.44	\$ -	\$ 8,929.44	\$ 4,795.44	\$ 4,134.00

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

Pershing

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	440	144	63,360	37,988	60%	\$ 182,476.80	\$ 100,536.08	\$ 81,940.72
SY 12-13 Reduced	17	144	2,448	1,387	57%	\$ 6,071.04	\$ 5,269.68	\$ 801.36
SY 13-14 Free	412	144	59,328	30,905	52%	\$ 175,017.60	\$ 103,425.30	\$ 71,592.30
SY 13-14 Reduced	39	144	5,616	5,246	93%	\$ 14,320.80	\$ 4,913.84	\$ 9,406.96

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank

# STOREY COUNTY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	30
High School Graduation Rate***	87.88%
Population*	3,942
Median Household Income*	\$61,573
Poverty Rate*	11.0%
Unemployment Rate**	10.0%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$16,666**

## Storey County School District - Enrollment

**398**

Elementary 2	Middle School 1	High School 1	Juvenile Centers 0
<b>Other Educational Facilities</b>			
Child Care 0	Charter Schools 0	Private Schools 0	

## **STOREY COUNTY PROGRAMS 2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **FFVP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CACFP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CSFP**

Food Bank of Northern Nevada

### **NSIP**

No Participation

### **TEFAP**

No Participation

<u>School Breakfast Program</u> <u>2013-2014</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Hillside	68.8	Virginia City	n/a
Hugh Gallagher	n/a	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Virginia City	n/a
<b>68.8% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

<u>National School Lunch Program</u> <u>2013-2014</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Hillside	51.8	Virginia City	n/a
Hugh Gallagher	n/a	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Virginia City	n/a
<b>51.8% Lunch Participation Rate</b>			

School District:

Storey

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	21	180	3,780	2,076	55%	\$ 6,993.00	\$ -	\$ 6,993.00	\$ 3,611.52	\$ 3,381.48
SY 12-13 Reduced	8	180	1,440	875	61%	\$ 2,232.00	\$ -	\$ 2,232.00	\$ 1,112.52	\$ 1,119.48
SY 13-14 Free	21	180	3,780	1,868	49%	\$ 7,144.20	\$ -	\$ 7,144.20	\$ 3,530.52	\$ 3,613.68
SY 13-14 Reduced	9	180	1,620	878	54%	\$ 2,575.80	\$ -	\$ 2,575.80	\$ 1,396.02	\$ 1,179.78

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.



School District:

Storey

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	21	180	3,780	2,483	66%	\$ 10,886.40	\$ 7,787.08	\$ 3,099.32
SY 12-13 Reduced	8	180	1,440	1,009	70%	\$ 3,571.20	\$ 1,614.60	\$ 1,956.60
SY 13-14 Free	21	180	3,780	2,432	64%	\$ 11,151.00	\$ 7,862.22	\$ 3,288.78
SY 13-14 Reduced	9	180	1,620	1,047	65%	\$ 4,131.00	\$ 2,225.09	\$ 1,905.91

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

This page intentionally left blank

# WASHOE COUNTY



## County Statistics

Free/Reduced Eligible Students	29,905
High School Graduation Rate	72.62%
Population	433,731
Median Household Income*	\$53,040
Poverty Rate*	15.1%
Unemployment Rate	9.6%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$18,138,356**

## Washoe County School District - Enrollment

**62,986**

Elementary 70	Middle School 15	High School 13	Juvenile Centers 2
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care 10	Charter Schools 11	Private Schools 35	

# WASHOE COUNTY PROGRAMS

## 2013-2014

### **SMP**

Board of Regents, TMCC/El Cord Child Care Center  
Our Lady of the Snows Parochial School

### **SFSP**

Food Bank of Northern Nevada  
Reno Sparks Tribal Council  
Washoe County School District  
Boys & Girls Club of Truckee Meadows  
Reno-Sparks Tribal Council  
City of Reno, Recreation Division

### **CACFP**

Boys & Girls Club of Truckee Meadows

### **RCCI**

Northern Nevada Child & Adolescent Services

### **CSFP**

Food Bank of Northern NV

-

### **NSIP**

No Participation

### **TEFAP**

Catholic Charities of Northern NV  
Washoe County Senior Services  
Food Bank of Northern NV  
Sun Valley Hope Church of the Nazarene  
The Salvation Army of Reno  
Reno Sparks Gospel Mission  
Step One, Inc.  
Launching Pad, Inc.

### **FFVP**

Allen ES  
Anderson ES  
Bailey ES  
Bennett ES  
Booth ES  
Cannan ES  
Corbett ES  
Desert Height ES  
Dodson ES  
Donner Springs ES  
Drake ES  
Duncan ES  
Dunn ES  
Echo Loder ES  
Elmcrest ES  
Greenbrae ES  
Lemmon Valley  
Lincoln Park ES  
Mathews ES  
Mariposa Academy  
Maxwell ES  
Mitchell ES  
Mount Rose ES  
Natchez ES  
Palmer ES  
Risley ES  
Sierra Vista ES  
Alice Smith  
Kate Smith ES  
Smithridge ES  
Stead ES  
Sun Valley ES  
Veterans Memorial  
Warner ES

## School Breakfast Program

2013-2014

<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Alice Smith	<b>14.5</b>	Hunsberger	<b>0.0</b>	Sun Valley +	<b>78.9</b>
Allen +	<b>78.6</b>	Hunter Lake	<b>16.5</b>	Taylor	<b>0.0</b>
Anderson +	<b>86.1</b>	Incline	<b>0.0</b>	Towles	<b>15.3</b>
Beasley	<b>0.0</b>	Juniper	<b>0.0</b>	Van Gorder	<b>0.0</b>
Beck	<b>10.9</b>	Kate Smith +	<b>75.1</b>	Verdi	<b>0.0</b>
Bennett	<b>27.3</b>	Lemmon Valley	<b>17.1</b>	Vets Memorial +	<b>74.8</b>
Booth +	<b>74.6</b>	Lenz	<b>0.0</b>	Warner	<b>22</b>
Brown	<b>0.0</b>	Lincoln Park +	<b>79</b>	Westergard	<b>0.0</b>
Cannan +	<b>74</b>	Loder +	<b>76.8</b>	Whitehead	<b>0.0</b>
Caughlin Ranch	<b>0.0</b>	Mathews +	<b>77.8</b>	Winnemucca	<b>10.8</b>
Corbett +	<b>78.1</b>	Maxwell	<b>62.2</b>	<b>Middle School</b>	<b>Percent of Participation</b>
Desert Heights	<b>27.7</b>	Melton	<b>0.0</b>	Billingshurst	<b>3.9</b>
Diedrichsen	<b>16.9</b>	Mitchell	<b>71.6</b>	Clayton	<b>13</b>
Dodson	<b>14.1</b>	Moss	<b>9.9</b>	Cold Springs	<b>9.8</b>
Donner Springs	<b>10.8</b>	Mount Rose	<b>11.8</b>	Depoali	<b>5.6</b>
Double Diamond	<b>10.2</b>	Natchez +	<b>81</b>	Dilworth	<b>10.8</b>
Drake	<b>29.2</b>	Palmer	<b>19.3</b>	Incline	<b>7.1</b>
Duncan +	<b>73.1</b>	Peavine	<b>0.0</b>	Mendive	<b>7.6</b>
Dunn	<b>13.7</b>	Pleasant Valley	<b>0.0</b>	O'Brien	<b>30.1</b>
Elmcrest	<b>18.9</b>	Risley +	<b>87.4</b>	Pine	<b>13.4</b>
Gomes	<b>14.9</b>	Sepulveda	<b>0.0</b>	Shaw	<b>0.0</b>
Gomm	<b>0.0</b>	Sierra Vista +	<b>63.2</b>	Sparks	<b>16.7</b>
Greenbrae +	<b>74.6</b>	Silver Lake	<b>13.3</b>	Swope	<b>9.3</b>
Hall	<b>11.6</b>	Smithridge +	<b>72.9</b>	Traner +	<b>60.6</b>
Hidden Valley	<b>0.0</b>	Spanish Springs	<b>0.0</b>	Vaughn	<b>11.9</b>
Huffaker	<b>0.0</b>	Stead	<b>21.5</b>		

SBP data continued next page

## School Breakfast Program

2013-2014

<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>High Schools</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Charter Schools</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
AACT	<b>17.3</b>	Reed	<b>3</b>	Bailey	<b>14.7</b>
Damonte Ranch	<b>7.5</b>	Reno	<b>2.5</b>	High Desert Montessori	<b>0.0</b>
Galena	<b>11.6</b>	Spanish Springs	<b>8.1</b>	I Can Do Anything	<b>0.0</b>
Hug +	<b>29.5</b>	Sparks	<b>10</b>	Mariposa Academy +	<b>93.8</b>
Incline	<b>6.3</b>	Washoe Innovations	<b>7</b>	Sierra Nevada Academy	<b>31.7</b>
McQueen	<b>3.6</b>	Washoe Inspire	<b>16</b>	<b><u>Combined Schools</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
North Valley	<b>6</b>	Wooster	<b>6.6</b>	Piccollo K-12	<b>38.9</b>

**25.5% Breakfast Participation Rate**

# National School Lunch Program

2013-2014

<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Alice Smith	<b>61.3</b>	Hunsberger	<b>19.1</b>	Sun Valley +	<b>81.1</b>
Allen +	<b>78.1</b>	Hunter Lake	<b>44.7</b>	Taylor	<b>29.3</b>
Anderson +	<b>85.4</b>	Incline	<b>31.9</b>	Towles	<b>53.4</b>
Beasley	<b>34.3</b>	Juniper	<b>59.1</b>	Van Gorder	<b>20.5</b>
Beck	<b>32.1</b>	Kate Smith +	<b>84.4</b>	Verdi	<b>39</b>
Bennett	<b>71.3</b>	Lemmon Valley	<b>61.5</b>	Vets Memorial +	<b>79.3</b>
Booth +	<b>79.4</b>	Lenz	<b>15.9</b>	Warner	<b>61.8</b>
Brown	<b>22.8</b>	Lincoln Park +	<b>77.9</b>	Westergard	<b>28.3</b>
Cannan +	<b>83</b>	Loder +	<b>81.4</b>	Whitehead	<b>36.7</b>
Caughlin Ranch	<b>21.3</b>	Mathews +	<b>81.6</b>	Winnemucca	<b>37.9</b>
Corbett +	<b>81.3</b>	Maxwell	<b>66.9</b>	<b><u>Middle School</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
Desert Heights	<b>65.7</b>	Melton	<b>23.8</b>	Billinghurst	<b>14.3</b>
Diedrichsen	<b>55.6</b>	Mitchell	<b>76</b>	Clayton	<b>33.8</b>
Dodson	<b>60.5</b>	Moss	<b>38.2</b>	Cold Springs	<b>27.5</b>
Donner Springs	<b>49</b>	Mount Rose	<b>52.5</b>	Depoali	<b>16.6</b>
Double Diamond	<b>35.6</b>	Natchez +	<b>83.3</b>	Dilworth	<b>50.7</b>
Drake	<b>72.1</b>	Palmer	<b>71.5</b>	Incline	<b>25.4</b>
Duncan +	<b>78.2</b>	Peavine	<b>46.3</b>	Mendive	<b>18.1</b>
Dunn	<b>62</b>	Pleasant Valley	<b>32.7</b>	O'Brien	<b>50.5</b>
Elmcrest	<b>63.8</b>	Risley +	<b>91.7</b>	Pine	<b>27.5</b>
Gomes	<b>43.6</b>	Sepulveda	<b>33.3</b>	Shaw	<b>19.3</b>
Gomm	<b>17.4</b>	Sierra Vista +		Sparks	<b>27.7</b>
Greenbrae +	<b>82.3</b>	Silver Lake	<b>51.2</b>	Swope	<b>20.2</b>
Hall	<b>31.6</b>	Smithridge +	<b>83</b>	Traner +	<b>67</b>
Hidden Valley	<b>54.3</b>	Spanish Springs	<b>30.4</b>	Vaughn	<b>48.3</b>
Huffaker	<b>36.9</b>	Stead	<b>68.2</b>		

NSLP data continued next page

## National School Lunch Program

2013-2014

<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>High Schools</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Charter Schools</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
AACT	<b>18.6</b>	Reed	<b>10.3</b>	Bailey	<b>77.2</b>
Damonte Ranch	<b>16</b>	Reno	<b>5.5</b>	High Desert Montesori	
Galena	<b>13.4</b>	Spanish Springs	<b>16.6</b>	I Can Do Anything	<b>15.3</b>
Hug +	<b>70.9</b>	Sparks	<b>27.7</b>	Mariposa Academy +	<b>91.7</b>
Incline	<b>8.2</b>	Washoe Innovations	<b>14</b>	Sierra Nevada Academy	<b>34.8</b>
McQueen	<b>9.6</b>	Washoe Inspire	<b>27.5</b>	<b><u>Combined Schools</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Participation</u></b>
North Valley	<b>19.1</b>	Wooster	<b>20.6</b>	Picollo K-12	<b>48.9</b>

**40.1% Lunch Participation Rate**



School District:

Washoe

### Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students (severe/regular)	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	15,397	180	2,771,460	1,704,885	62%	\$ 5,127,201.00	\$ -	\$ 5,127,201.00	\$ 2,310,290.11	\$ 2,816,910.89
SY 12-13 Reduced	3,675	180	661,500	306,156	46%	\$ 1,025,325.00	\$ -	\$ 1,025,325.00	\$ 358,316.60	\$ 667,008.40
SY 13-14 Free	24,401	180	4,392,180	1,823,978	42%	\$ 8,301,220.20	\$ -	\$ 8,301,220.20	\$ 3,255,320.88	\$ 5,045,899.32
SY 13-14 Reduced	2,988	180	537,840	316,502	59%	\$ 855,165.60	\$ -	\$ 855,165.60	\$ 475,672.35	\$ 379,493.25

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

Washoe

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C = D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate = G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	15397	180	2,771,460	3,010,461	109%	\$ 7,981,804.80	\$ 7,751,991.56	\$ 229,813.24
SY 12-13 Reduced	3675	180	661,500	633,463	96%	\$ 1,640,520.00	\$ 1,374,333.48	\$ 266,186.52
SY 13-14 Free	16499	180	2,969,820	3,153,338	106%	\$ 8,760,969.00	\$ 8,173,162.71	\$ 587,806.29
SY 13-14 Reduced	3426	180	616,680	600,652	97%	\$ 1,572,534.00	\$ 1,566,898.34	\$ 5,635.66

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

# WHITE PINE COUNTY



County Statistics	
Free/Reduced Eligible Students	481
High School Graduation Rate***	77.59%
Population*	10,057
Median Household Income*	\$48,586
Poverty Rate*	12.9%
Unemployment Rate**	7.2%

## Child Nutrition Programs Funding

**\$209,470**

White Pine County School District - Enrollment			1,334
Elementary 4	Middle School 2	High School 3	Juvenile Centers 0
Other Educational Facilities			
Child Care 3	Charter Schools 1	Private Schools 0	

# **WHITE PINE COUNTY PROGRAMS**

## **2013-2014**

### **SMP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **SFSP**

Mineral County Parks and Recreation

### **FFVP**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CACFP**

Little People's Head Start of Nevada

### **RCCI**

No Sponsors Participating

### **CSFP**

No Participation

### **NSIP**

White Pine Nutrition Program

### **TEFAP**

First Baptist Church of Ely

Ely Foursquare Church

<u>School Breakfast Program</u>			
<u>2013-2014</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Mc Gill	<b>40.4</b>	White Pine	<b>0.0</b>
Norman	<b>37</b>	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Steptoe Valley	<b>0.0</b>
		White Pine	<b>0.0</b>
<b>38.1% Breakfast Participation Rate</b>			

<u>National School Lunch Program</u>			
<u>2013-2014</u>			
<u>Elementary</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>	<u>Middle School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
Mc Gill	<b>48.4</b>	White Pine	<b>40.6</b>
Norman	<b>39.1</b>	<u>High School</u>	<u>Percent of Participation</u>
		Steptoe Valley	<b>21.5</b>
		White Pine	<b>11.6</b>
<b>32.1% Lunch Participation Rate</b>			

School District:

White Pine

## Report B1. School Breakfast Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Breakfast Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	*G	*H	I	J	K
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students ( <b>severe</b> / <b>regular</b> )	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Breakfasts Possible (B*C+B*C=D)	Actual Number of F/R Breakfasts Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Regular Reimbursement	Potential School Breakfast Program Severe & Regular Reimbursement	Actual Breakfast Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Breakfast Program (I-J=K)
<b>Yearly</b>										
SY 12-13 Free	406	144	58,464	22,102	38%	\$ 108,158.40	\$ -	\$ 108,158.40	\$ 30,704.96	\$ 77,453.44
SY 12-13 Reduced	70	144	10,080	3,740	37%	\$ 15,624.00	\$ -	\$ 15,624.00	\$ 6,799.22	\$ 8,824.78
SY 13-14 Free	424	180	76,320	19,193	25%	\$ 144,244.80	\$ -	\$ 144,244.80	\$ 36,274.77	\$ 107,970.03
SY 13-14 Reduced	57	180	10,260	2,129	21%	\$ 16,313.40	\$ -	\$ 16,313.40	\$ 3,385.11	\$ 12,928.29

\* Breakfast meals are reimbursed through the School Breakfast Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe breakfast reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular breakfast reimbursement.

School District:

White Pine

## Report B1. School Lunch Fiscal Impact Report - School District Summary

### Actual School Lunch Program Reimbursement vs. Potential Reimbursement

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
	Number of Free/Reduced-Eligible Students	Number of Possible Serving Days (F/R Eligible x number of school days this period)	Number of F/R Lunchs Possible (B*C = D)	Actual Number of F/R Lunchs Served	Percentage of Possible F/R-Eligible Actually Served (E/D=F)	Potential School Lunch Program Reimbursement (D x rate=G)	Actual Lunch Reimbursement Received	Reimbursement Funding left in Washington, DC, due to low participation of F/R-eligible in School Lunch Program (G-H=I)
<b>Yearly</b>								
SY 12-13 Free	406	144	58,464	38,844	66%	\$ 168,376.32	\$ 96,024.16	\$ 96,024.16
SY 12-13 Reduced	70	144	10,080	6,013	60%	\$ 24,998.40	\$ 20,898.56	\$ 20,898.56
SY 13-14 Free	424	144	61,056	35,849	59%	\$ 180,115.20	\$ 102,574.35	\$ 102,574.35
SY 13-14 Reduced	57	144	8,208	4,230	52%	\$ 20,930.40	\$ 16,421.69	\$ 16,421.69

\* Lunch meals are reimbursed through the School Lunch Program at two different rates. Schools that qualify for the severe Lunch reimbursement are at a higher rate per meal than the regular Lunch reimbursement.

*“The U.S. Department of Agriculture prohibits discrimination against its customers, employees and applicant for employment on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, religion, reprisal, and where applicable, political beliefs, marital status, familial or parental status, sexual orientation, or all or part of an individual’s income is derived from any public assistance program, or protected genetic information in employment or in any program or activity conducted or funded by the Department. (Not all prohibited bases will apply to all programs and/or employment activities.)*

*If you wish to file a Civil Rights program complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, found online at [http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint\\_filing\\_cust.html](http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html), or at any USDA office, or call (866) 632-9992 to request the form. You may also write a letter containing all of the information requested in the form. Send your completed complaint form or letter to us by mail at U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director, Office of Adjunction, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, by fax (202) 690-7442 or email at [program.intake@usda.gov](mailto:program.intake@usda.gov).*

*Individuals who are deaf, hard of hearing or have speech disabilities may contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339; or (800) 845-6136 (Spanish).*

*USDA and the State of Nevada are equal opportunity providers and employers.\**